
The Uncensored Truth

The LIGHT

ISSUE 35 Monthly

A FREE TRUTHPAPER
www.thelightpaper.co.uk




Focus on where disinformation comes from

BBC under spotlight as it attempts to smear The Light Page 6

Media always lies

MISLEADING THE NATION FOR POWERFUL INTERESTS



UNDER the guise of reporting the news, national and corporate media have been purposely misleading the public for decades.

When not engaged in helping create the news, all channels and printed press support the world's governments and corporations on almost all issues, nearly all of the time.

Not one journalist seems to question the fundamental basis for whatever hysteria is being simultaneously channelled into people's minds from every orifice of the media machine, and that should be a cause for concern for any free-thinking individual.

While the covid-19 inquiry is now under way, there is little doubt the basic facts and evidence will not be covered - that flu, pneumonia, and pretty much everything else was rebranded covid-19 so the media could report frightening statistics to the nation, to scare them into compliance with what was to come.

A Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (SAGE) document from 2020 notes how they will use the media 'to increase the sense of personal threat'.

So if you are still under the illusion that there was a new disease to be afraid of during 2020-21, you should know you were psychologically convinced of that fact with the help of the media and behavioural scientists working for the Cabinet Office.

And while the non-existent 'climate crisis' now informs much government policy, as well as corporate investment, no one in the media seems to have asked why CO_2 output keeps rising, but temperatures have stayed consistent for years, or that it has been much warmer in the past despite no oil and gas use.

And when the BBC *finally* got around to answering why they had prophetically reported that a building had collapsed 23 minutes before it actually did on 9/11 - World Trade Centre 7, aka the Salomon Brothers building - they claimed it was hectic and no-one knew what was going on. That's pretty lame for a famous news organisation that is supposed to check the facts.

They don't want you to know that they had the script, but the building didn't collapse when it was supposed to, at 5pm. What they also don't want you to remember is that no planes hit WTC7 on 9/11.

The media isn't just 'biased', or 'all doom and gloom' - it's there, as it was in Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, to propagandise you by repeatedly telling you what to think and how to behave. To frame your beliefs.

The fact that all channels tell you the same thing should not be taken as they all must be right; it should be taken that they are spouting the same message, and something is definitely awry - how can everybody be in such uniform agreement all the time?

Why is there only one correct way of thinking about all topics, and anyone who dares deviate from these 'norms' is a hateful, right-wing extremist, among other pejorative terms they use? It's basic propaganda tactics: smear those who oppose you, and accuse them of exactly what you do, in order to deflect attention.

It is effective because today, the media has a deep reach into almost every home and public and personal space, and it still somehow carries the authority of veracity and respectability, despite time and again being shown to lie and cover up.

We should assume the opposite, as we do with people in our lives; once we find out someone has lied to us, we don't place the same level of trust in them ever again. Why don't we do this for the media, which has been caught lying repeatedly about the most important issues?

More on page 2

Catalogue of deception

Mainstream media is No.1 source of disinformation and hate



Jane Standley reporting the collapse of WTC7 on the BBC, a full 23 minutes before it did



The media has little sympathy for journalists who do their job and help expose war crimes



Why have so many gone back to trusting the media after its lies about Iraq which led to war?


by **DARREN SMITH**

Supported the lies that led to war in Iraq and Syria

Where were the weapons of mass destruction that could be launched against the West in 45 minutes? The BBC were also caught faking attacks in Syria to support bombings.

Lied about 'pandemic' and the 'vaccines'

And still covering up now for the excess deaths caused by the mass injection of experimental shots. Media outlets also repeatedly incited hate against those who saw through the lies (below).



Lying about 'climate change'

The weather always changes, has been colder and warmer than today, has been calmer and more unpredictable than today, and tens of thousands of scientists and researchers that have proven there is no climate emergency, and argue against the prevailing media and government narrative are simply ignored, as happened during the manufactured covid-19 pandemic (propaganda by omission).

BBC hid child sex ring and covered up for Savile

Britain's worst child rapist and trafficker, Jimmy Savile, was the BBC's premier star for almost 20 years.

Similar criminal predators have been allowed to roam free throughout the media, politics and other establishment institutions, and the media has always done its best to whitewash these most heinous of crimes against innocents.

The gall to have the 'Prospero and Ariel' statue of a wizard holding a naked boy in place, by sexual criminal Eric Gill, at the front of their London headquarters, is as offensive as it is heartless.

No one at the BBC has the decency to consider child rape victims, and this is



Dave Chick

the organisation that demands your trust, and forces you to pay for its vile crimes and incessant propaganda, often with menaces.

Campaigner Dave Chick (above) has twice climbed up and damaged the disgusting monument to paedophilia, but interestingly has never been charged.

In retrospect, propaganda is always obvious, but to think advanced forms of behavioural manipulation - propaganda you don't even notice - aren't going on in the media today, would be absurdly naive.

- ***BBC faking chemical attacks:***
  https://www.bitchute.com/video/AZfJEjBElG2X/
- ***Media inciting hate and division:***
  https://www.bitchute.com/video/viKIC7WxZBhS/
- ***Scientists denounce climate emergency:***
  http://www.petitionproject.org/index.php
- ***BBC history of child abuse:***
  https://tinyurl.com/2p8kbdux
- ***BBC prophesying the fall of WTC7 on 9/11:***
  https://www.bitchute.com/video/DO37hO6STWHX/
- ***Dave 'Spiderman' Chick:***
  https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nsvWSKtAUUo

TheLIGHT

July 2023 The Uncensored Truth

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free of the establishment we seek to hold to account.

For all subscription enquiries, please contact:
subscriptions@thelightpaper.co.uk
For all distribution and pre-order enquiries,
please email: **lightdistribution@mailbox.org**
For advertising enquiries, please contact:
ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith **Sub-editor:** Harry Wundas
Layout: Mi.Ki **Distribution:** Cath Swann & Matt Smith
Advertising: Nicola Kelly **Subscriptions:** Ross & Rebecca Pename
Proofreading: Jerry R , Alan I, Stevie M, Tracy S, David K

Special thanks to every one of our distributors and hubs who volunteer every month to bring you the uncensored news.

To keep our paper FREE is not free - you can help by ordering advance copies, donating and subscribing at:

https://thelightpaper.co.uk

"It's so easy for propaganda to work, and dissent to be mocked."

- Harold Pinter

How did they get away with it?

by **JACQUI DEEVOY**

Nurse who claims husband killed by NHS says he was punished because he refused covid vaccine





Left: Elena Vlaica Above: Elena with her husband Stuart

> At that moment, a nurse appeared with five 10ml syringes on a blue tray. She pushed two of them into Stuart's canula. He took three breaths, then died in my arms. I shouted: 'She's killed him!' then broke down. I don't remember getting home that night

REGISTERED nurse Elena Vlaica, 46, has spoken out many times about the alleged murder of her husband Stuart in hospital 18 months ago.

She claims that 54-year-old Stuart, who had been admitted to hospital with a possible chest infection, was bullied, humiliated, overdosed and experimented on before he was finally killed.

On his admission into hospital on October 26, 2021, Elena claims he was put on a CPAP machine (a pressurised mask that blows out air) at 100 per cent pressure which, she says, destroyed his lungs.

She said: "They could have easily given him oxygen but they didn't. He didn't need to go on a CPAP."

All necessary medication was stopped, she said, adding: "Stuart was on blood pressure tablets and antidepressants.

"But these were stopped as soon as he was deemed end-of-life. Sudden withdrawal of antidepressants can cause dramatic side effects, so once these started up, the doctors started treating them with other medications."

Stuart was put on a 'nil by mouth' regime. Elena wasn't aware of this at the time, but found out months later after she managed to get hold of her husband's medical notes with the help of a solicitor.

"He had no food or water for 11 days," says a tearful Elena. "He was crying. He said he was hungry. It's all in the notes."

Without informing Elena, medics then put Stuart on an end-of-life care pathway. "I had no idea this was happening at the time, no one at the hospital told me, and I wasn't allowed to visit because of covid rules."

Elena discovered from Stuart's medical notes after his death that the 120kg dad and grandad had tried to escape from the hospital FOUR times. "He was a big man and it took four medics to pin him down and sedate him. It breaks my heart thinking about this. He wanted to go home and they stopped him by physically restraining him and drugging him."

A consultant started calling Elena on FaceTime every day. "He called me every day for ten days at the same time. The language he used was strange. It was as if he was MK Ultra brainwashed. He'd always start the conversation saying that Stuart was unvaccinated.

"He'd say three things over and over - covid, unvaccinated, end of life. It was like some kind of NLP (neurolinguistic programming). He told me Stuart would not be leaving the hospital alive. I argued and fought. I'd seen his blood test results; they were normal. My Stuart was not a dying man. He just had a chest infection. I wanted him home."

Elena later discovered that in order to prevent Stuart leaving the hospital, nurses sedated him with midazolam and morphine - two drugs that, together, repress the respiratory system enough to kill many individuals, but had started being used simultaneously as a covid protocol.

Elena said: "All this to stop him running away. To humiliate him further they cut off his clothes and catheterised him. He was kept naked. He didn't need a catheter, he was able to use a toilet, although obviously not after they sedated him."

To keep him under control, Stuart was given regular large doses of benzodiazepine sedative, midazolam, and the opiate, morphine. He was given over 100mgs in total, enough (according to one expert) "to take down an elephant".

It is well documented that these drugs are used as lethal injections in the US to execute death row prisoners.

As a nurse, Elena knows that Stuart had been given a deadly dose. "I'm amazed he stayed alive as long as he did. He was a fighter though and he wanted to come home."

As if all this wasn't horrific enough, the doctors were also testing out new covid treatments on Stuart. Again, Elena knew nothing of this until after his death.

"They started giving him several on-trial covid medications, unapproved in the UK - they tested Remdesivir on him, which is known to destroy the liver and kidneys, and has killed thousands in the U.S., where Anthony Fauci recommended it as a covid treatment.

"They pumped him full of monoclonal antibodies, on top of antibiotics. It was like a Nazi experiment. No consent. The Nuremberg Code was not adhered to. To be used as a human guinea pig without giving any consent is a violation of human rights. How did they get away with it?"

The day of Stuart's death is the stuff of horror movies. On November 6, 2021, at 1pm, Elena had a call from the hospital to let her know that Stuart was dying. When she arrived, Elena could see he was heavily sedated. "He looked like he was in a coma. I know now he was in a midazolam coma.

"I was kissing him and I could see his saturation levels improving. He knew I was there and I knew he was fighting for his life. When the junior doctor saw me looking at the monitor, she switched it off. At that moment a nurse appeared with five 10ml syringes on a blue tray. She pushed two of them into Stuart's canula, he took three breaths, then died in my arms. I shouted: 'She's killed him!' then broke down. I don't remember getting home that night."

Looking back at everything Stuart suffered, Elena believes that he was being punished for not having taken the experimental jab. "Every day, they mentioned it. They seemed very judgmental about it. Stuart and I had decided together not to get the jabs because we felt they were too new and there wasn't enough information about them. I told the doctors this but they didn't like it.

"I'm 100 per cent certain that my Stuart was punished for being unvaccinated. And his punishment was death."


The police and a coroner were asked to investigate. They refused, she said.

"It's hard to know where to turn and what to do," said Elena.

"These psychopaths need to be held accountable and I will not stop seeking justice for my Stuart."

- *Elena Vlaica appears in upcoming documentary,* **Playing God**, *produced by Jacqui Deevoy and Trailblazer Films. Please support the project here:*
- ***https://www.crowdfunder.co.uk/p/playing-god***

Challenge climate emergency theories



Global warming computer models repeatedly wrong

by **NORMAN BAMPTON**

NOT many people are aware that all of the fearmongering climate predictions have been generated by computer models.

In the past 50 years, the predictions of climate computer models about global warming and its dire effects have been wrong - demonstrating their predictive unreliability.

Yet these same failed computer models are still being used to inform and justify draconian UK Government and international 'climate emergency' policies that will grievously undermine and destroy our way of life and economic system.

Why? The mainstream media and the government repeatedly tell us there is a consensus and 'settled science' with man-made climate change.

But how can there be such a consensus when there is a global network of eminent, experienced scientists and professionals in climate and related fields, and many others who challenge the settled science, and who are vilified, censored and deprived of research funding?

The restrictive impacts of unnecessary and harsh climate policies on our lives are already beginning to be felt.

For example, we have carbon taxes; low emission zones; farms being taken out of production; proposals for major travel restrictions; mass slaughter of cattle for 'Net Zero' in Ireland; forced purchase of farms in Holland, and many changes to food production and supply, not to mention huge rises in household energy and transport fuel costs.

Progressively severe restrictions are imminent, with policies at national and local level ready to go - unless they are vigorously contested.

If not robustly and widely challenged, ever-greater global governance powers over the UK will result, exercised by unelected supranational institutions, such as the UN and World Economic Forum, that promote the supposed man-made climate emergency.

In September, the UN and WEF (which advocates for a self-appointed, unaccountable one world government) will meet with national governments and public-private partner organisations to find ways to accelerate global-to-local climate policies through the Agenda 2030 Sustainable Development Goals, which the UK and other governments are signed up to.

Rigorously questioning the man-made climate change theory and all policies based on it is now very urgent.

Links to further understand what is going on

Climate Intelligence (CLINTEL) is an independent foundation that operates in the fields of climate change and climate policy. Over 1,500 professional signatories. Their World Climate Declaration: "The climate view of CLINTEL can be easily summarised as: there is no climate emergency."

https://clintel.org/

New Scientist, May 16, 2007. 'Climate myths': "Ice cores show CO_2 increases lag behind temperature rises, disproving the link to global warming. Ice cores from Antarctica show that at the end of recent ice ages, the concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere usually started to rise only after temperatures had begun to climb. This proves that rising CO_2 was not the trigger that caused the initial warming at the end of these ice ages – but no climate scientist has ever made this claim."

https://tinyurl.com/3dkxz49y

The unexpected origin of the climate crisis by Jeffery Jaxen takes a deep dive into the origin of the climate crisis narrative, highlighting the Club of Rome's hand in crafting the modern day ploy. (i.e., man-made climate change selected as a justification for one world governance):

https://tinyurl.com/2rawrej5

---

500 Experts in Letter to the United Nations say there Is no Climate Emergency:

https://tinyurl.com/bdd68pkz

UK Column interview June 2023: "We are being ambushed on many levels... but the one thing that they are trying to push to get the control is Net Zero, and that's why I feel it is the belly of the beast. As recently as the 1970s, the BBC were talking about global cooling being a problem and then suddenly wham... the whole narrative changed overnight into global warming. Then, when they realised it wasn't warming, they had to call it climate change which covers every aspect of what weather does naturally."

https://tinyurl.com/zzjehzsk

Dr. Patrick Moore, scientist, consultant, ex president of Greenpeace - *Should We Celebrate Carbon Dioxide?*

https://tinyurl.com/bdvp89e7

***Fake invisible catastrophes and threats of doom* by Patrick Moore, 2021**

'The contention that human emissions are now the dominant influence on climate is simply a hypothesis rather than a universally accepted scientific theory. It is therefore correct, verging on the compulsory, in the scientific tradition to be sceptical of those who express certainty that the science is settled and the debate is over.'

Party politics destroys freedom

by **JOHN CRUTTWELL**

No matter which side you vote for, tyranny always gets in

PARLIAMENTARY sovereignty is a term that politicians use to justify the revolutionary system they have secretly created - the single elective authority.

This system pretends to be representative of public opinion by offering a variety of manifestos.

These collective packages mean that the voter is forced to consent to the entire contents of a manifesto, thereby granting total power to the winning party, and thus destroying the protection of constitutional law.

We were to find out, to our dismay, that this protection would be destroyed by party politicians, when, in 1972 - believing in our centuries-old right in Common Law to petition the Crown - our 750,000 signatures were ignored, and we endured 48 years in the EU.

This is to illustrate to the reader the magnitude of the damage that can, and has been, inflicted on the English people by the 'democratic' confidence trick called the party system.

It was of course repeated in 2019, when Boris Johnson was granted an 80-seat majority by a desperate nation wanting back its right to self-government - from the very party that had given it away.

"It is the Parliamentary majority which has the potential for tyranny," warned Conservative Lord Hailsham in 1970.

The party jeered at him.

As consititutional affairs' expert, Professor H. W. R. Wade, warned: "It must not be forgotten that there can be no check on the unscrupulous use of power by a government which finds itself in command of a majority in the House of Commons."

For the benefit of those who were not politically active or even alive in 1970 (and now fancy themselves as politicians or commentators), Prof. Wade's comments perfectly describe the tyranny that the Edward Heath government imposed on dissident MPs who came out of the Whip's office 'ashen-faced' as they had been so



The scales are always tipped the wrong way

threatened. That information is for the modern self-styled commentators who think Tory tyranny is only recent with lockdowns, net zero, etc.

This is what you get when you endorse or participate in a system which subjects the people to the dominance of the collective party manifesto and the unashamed competition for power which party politicians declare at the hustings, contrary to the constitution.

In case the reader thinks a new political party is the answer, I would argue that it is the party system itself that is the problem.

This centralisation of power (in violation of the constitution) is achieved by merging the government with the Commons via 'the single elective authority' based on French Revolutionary ideas, or what Lord Hailsham famously called 'elective dictatorship'.

In her book, *World Revolution*, Nesta Webster, former tutor to British Security services, states that Marxism and French Revolution ideas are interchangeable.

Edmund Burke said: "Whenever Parliament is persuaded to assume the offices of executive government, it will lose all the confidence, love and veneration which it has ever enjoyed, whilst it was supposed to be the corrective control on the acting powers of the state."

Having described what the party system does to the voter to extract consent for the tranche of tyranny, all party candidates are subject to the Party Whip:

'As long as we backbenchers vote after the debate in the way the Whips tell us, nobody cares much what happens during the debate,' said Tory MP Julian Critchley MP in a November 1980 edition of Reader's Digest.

In 2024, the majority acceptance of a couple of Labour policies, to correct the damage caused by the 'money party', would mean the entire Labour manifesto will be endorsed.

Would you like a return to the EU?

The asinine claim by some Tories that Labour are a lot worse is a proven lie. There is little or no difference when power is concerned, and the checks and balances on that power have been destroyed. The nature of the party revolution is to destroy the protection offered to the individual by the separation of powers in the constitution.

Anyone who plays party politics consents to that situation.

- ***Email:** john.cruttwell@sfr.fr*
- ***Recommended:* The British Constitution and the Corruption of Parliament *by Ben Green, and* The Party System *by Hilaire Belloc.***

Focusing on where the disinformation really lies

by **MATTHEW LUNDQUIST**

BBC's attack on *The Light* exposes its own failings

WATCHING the three-hour dual-interview on Rumble between the BBC's Marianna Spring and *The Light*'s Darren Nesbit, I felt a glimmer of hope that Spring might actually be sincere and acting in good faith.

Yet, the final cut of *Conspiracyland: UK?* put an end to that illusion. I would argue it is highly edited and deceptively presented. Should I really be surprised?

It is obvious to me now that Spring came to *The Light* with a pre-established narrative she was hell-bent on confirming.

Despite the pleasantries, Spring is a predator on the prowl for soundbites and 'gotcha' moments. "Do you think the U.S. Government were behind 9/11?" her off-camera assistant pushes as the interview winds up.

Behind the mask, there's a ruthlessness and desperation at play: the BBC aren't here to learn about Nesbit after all; they're here to portray him as part of the lunatic fringe, to lock him inside a conceptual box, and throw away the key. They don't succeed.

For a start, Spring is fixated on the wrong axis of the political compass. She uses the left/right axis to understand the world whereas it is more important to study the authoritarian/libertarian axis to prevent the greatest harms.

She also makes the error of conflating authoritarianism with the political right. They are independent axes. In any case, the left has been the far deadlier force throughout human history.



The Light - the main focus of *Conspiracyland: UK?*

In short, in my opinion, she's got her political compass upside down and back to front.

What is important to note – and something Spring refuses to accept – is that Nesbit isn't especially political. Nesbit's main concern is with the vertical axis, authoritarianism vs liberty, and for good reason: you won't find any totalitarian regimes or genocidal leaders placed in the libertarian zone of the political compass.

Spring doesn't register how her role as 'disinformation' correspondent for a centralised Ministry of Truth is straight out of *Nineteen Eighty-Four*. Her desire to snuff out uprisings of thought from beyond the tightly-controlled Overton Window makes her, by definition, authoritarian-minded.

Chairman Mao relied on 'othering', as did Pol Pot, Stalin, and the Nazis. Three of these regimes were on the left, and one was politically all over the place. All were authoritarian.

Why is the media so reluctant to criticise the far left? Spring reflexively pumps out prescribed talking points about the January 6 Capitol riots, yet fails to ask tough questions about the Black Lives Matter (BLM) riots which killed many more people, and which caused billions of dollars in damage. Why did the BBC look sympathetically upon BLM protests during the lockdown, yet vilified anti-lockdown protestors and deceived the public about the number of attendees?

When Spring doesn't get the answers that fit her narrative, she incessantly repeats questions under different guises. Thus, under the pretence of seeking open discussion and common ground, there is a need to 'other' Nesbit and *The Light* paper readership.

We all saw how the BBC selected optics to smear those sceptical of lockdowns, masks, and vaccines as stupid, thuggish, conspiracy wingnuts, or as being adjacent to the so-called far right.

Spring's questions are little more than a regurgitation of tiresome slogans. She uses the tactic of accusing Nesbit of others' wrongdoings, which haven't even been clearly defined and so can't be defended against.

Her moral reprimands are opaque and nebulous; the type of shaming and social ostracism which has made modern society walk on eggshells and constantly look over its shoulder, chronically anxious that it might have possibly thought or said something wrong.

This is the slow march toward conformity and totalitarianism, under a haze of amorphous moral terror, where if you give an inch they'll take a mile - only they'll take it an inch at a time so you don't notice. Frogs brought to the boil slowly. Thus, instead of preventing harms, at a deeper level, it is possible that Spring could actually be making the world a crazier and more dangerous place.

To reiterate, centralised groupthink is insidious and leads to the most atrocious form of othering. By scapegoating Nesbit and *The Light*, Spring is distracting the public and protecting the real wrong-doers from scrutiny.

The list of real conspiracies - from state genocide to corporate crime - is staggering. Given this, the question Spring should be asking isn't 'why do some have a conspiratorial mind-set' but 'why aren't there more people that do?' Distrust in our institutions is a perfectly rational response.

She insists that conspiracy theories cause real world harms. I suggest that real world harms generate conspiracy theories. It never crosses her mind. Spring should perhaps remember Pfizer received the biggest criminal fine for healthcare fraud in U.S. history.

The establishment media will 'investigate' Big Pharma – but only after the horse has already bolted. This isn't investigative, and it isn't brave.

Obsessed with battling 9/11 conspiracy theories, the media has failed to hold to account those who lied about weapons of mass destruction in Iraq, whose war killed millions and destabilised the Middle East for generations to come.

Spring is eager to make a distinction between, say, corruption and conspiracy. This, however, is a false distinction. A conspiracy is simply a plot which involves two or more people. Fraud, corruption, cover-ups, collusion, propaganda, coordinated censorship campaigns and media sloganising, algorithmic suppression or amplification – and crimes of all sorts – are all conspiracies if they involve two or more people. Indeed, the mundane and systemic conspiracies (those most people have been normalised into not seeing as conspiracies) are often the most destructive of all.

By dropping weapons of mass distraction, the mainstream media has done more than any fringe group could ever do to rile fear, instil hate, and sow resentment.

- ***Matthew Lundquist is the author of* Rabbit Holes & Donkey Bones — a Guidebook to Life, Society, and Personal Transformation*: https://touchstonesshadows.wordpress.com***
- ***Interview with Darren Nesbit and Marianna Spring: https://tinyurl.com/2eczezub***

Psychology of paradigm shifts

by **SHANE FUDGE**

AS we disappear ever further into the elite-engineered Great Reset, it is clear many people remain unaware, or are in denial, or are uninterested in what is actually going on.

The perennial questions remain the same after three years: why were some awake from the beginning; why do many remain asleep; what might become events and catalysts to greater understanding and mass exit from the echo chamber?

The theory of the gestalt switch is an interesting framework through which to explore the above questions. Gestalt is a German word which roughly translates to mean shape, pattern, or whole.

A distinct school of psychology emerged from this theory, challenging conventional therapies of the time, such as Freudian psychodynamic psychiatry and classical behaviourism.

As Korb et al. (2001) explain, gestalt theory stresses 'the importance of understanding the underlying structure of a problem, in order for true learning to occur'. In gestalt psychotherapy, the underlying structure and organisation of a situation is perceived in holistic terms, whereby reality is processed through an individual's personal construction of meaning.

The father of gestalt theory, Fritz Perls, argued that effective therapeutic interventions needed to transcend 'atomistic psychology' and embrace the idea that the whole is greater than the sum of its parts.

Effective treatment of depression, for example, should incorporate an understanding of the immediate issue (the depression); comprehension of the context or environment which frames depressive episodes; and understanding the links and significances between these different elements.

The core aspect of gestalt psychology is the understanding that a person's experience (the gestalt) is socially constructed, and all of the elements involved in its formation are important in understanding, deconstructing, and remedying a situation in therapeutic terms.


In the 1960s, the political scientist Thomas Kuhn took gestalt theory beyond the realms of therapeutic psychology by arguing that the structure and organisation of the world in which we live can be understood in much the same way.

Kuhn argued that ideologies promoted by influential groups of people and institutions constitute gestalts or 'paradigms', which organise and validate normative beliefs, behaviours and practices. In *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (1962), Kuhn explored the role of science as the social construction and amalgamation of specific cultural relationships between prominent scientists.

A paradigm shift will involve a power struggle between those who influence proceedings, mark the boundaries and dictate the content, and those brave enough to challenge on all of these fronts



Scientist Thomas Kuhn. Artwork: Davi Trip



Examples of seeing the world differently depending on perception

According to Kuhn, science becomes a recognisable body of knowledge, not when objective truth is revealed, but when a sufficient number of scientists agree on the concepts and theories which define the laws of the natural world and how they operate.

Kuhn challenged Karl Popper's earlier proposition that scientific knowledge emerges in a linear trajectory through 'empirical falsification' (a scientific proposition can never be verified but it can be falsified).

Kuhn argued that real science was a far messier process that was rooted in cultural norms and social interaction. For Kuhn, 'revolutionary science' occurs, not through rigorous data collection and verification, but more often through sudden, unstructured, and even unexplainable events; the most significant of which triggers what he termed 'a gestalt switch'.

The above set of diagrams offer a demonstration of how this happens. The three pictures each show one image. However, on closer inspection it becomes clear that each image can be interpreted in two ways.

Kuhn argues that this is the exact process that signifies the emergence of a new scientific paradigm. He suggests that the radical thinkers in any paradigm are the ones who eventually force the rest of the scientific community to see the same problem from a new perspective.

The objective conditions around a scientific puzzle remain the same; it is the perspective that has changed. In effect, Kuhn argues that scientists experiencing a gestalt switch have been 'deprogrammed' from their scientific training, and exposed to conflicting information.

Kuhn makes the point that paradigm shifts occur not by deliberation or interpretation, but when the number of seemingly unsolvable puzzles or anomalies threatens the credibility of the paradigm to guide effective research.

There are many examples of this throughout history, according to Kuhn. He cites the example of the Copernican Revolution, whereby a different explanation for the movement of the planets was prompted, not by a linear development of scientific ideas, but by gradual disagreement by those studying unexplainable anomalies in the Ptolemaic paradigm – notably Galileo and Kepler – who offered alternative perspectives.

The implications of Kuhn's work in relation to the socially constructed origins of scientific endeavour – for which he came under heavy criticism after publication of *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* – have become particularly pertinent in recent times. The human-engineered construction of the pandemic – as opposed to a verifiable scientific paradigm with clear boundaries around a public health issue – is now obvious to many, where following the money has become a much more revealing pursuit than following the science.

The people who have questioned the many anomalies and fissures in the pandemic narrative have been silenced, censored, or discredited. The pandemic itself has revealed the multitude of ways in which the pursuit of scientific knowledge is nested within, and skewed by, the goals and objectives of the corporate realm, the world of politics, and other institutional settings which may not necessarily be about the pursuit of objective truth.

So where will change come from and how might it happen? As Kuhn argues, a paradigm shift is invariably messy and will involve a power struggle between those who influence proceedings, mark the boundaries, and dictate the content and those who are brave enough to challenge on all of these fronts.

Revisiting Kuhn provides some interesting insights as to why many people still choose to see the picture being presented to them.

Without an understanding of, or concern about, the many vested interests involved – and the possibility that there may be counterfactual and dubious motives held by the dominant stakeholders – science remains an objective, neutral and unquestionable form of knowledge to many people.

The mantra for many might be stated as: 'Governments may be corrupt, but we can trust the scientists. They have our best interests at heart.'

But if the only scientists they hear from are bought and paid for, then they cannot be trusted at all.

Misinformation war over 5G risks

by **ROGER COMERFORD**

'Captured agencies' are forcing wireless radiation on the public

ALLEGEDLY, any concerns or claims about the risks of 5G technology are 'misinformation'.

But just what is misinformation? And have the government, health and regulatory services that are meant to keep us safe ever failed us before? Have they ever peddled information that subsequently was proved not only to be false, but dangerous?

The harmful effects of asbestos were first identified 100 years before it was banned. There are a litany of other examples, from tower block 'fireproof' cladding to our sewage-soaked seas - the list goes on and on. It is difficult to argue that we live in a climate where health checks are more important than profit.

Sometimes, we need to remind ourselves that not all truths are hidden behind a veil of secrecy; some are there in plain sight. Who could conclude that safety procedures and regulatory supervision operate smoothly and effectively with honesty, integrity and accountability? Who in their right mind would conclude there is no corruption, and there are are no lapses, or vested interests that care little for our collective health, beyond weasel words and platitudes?

Enquiring minds continue to be deterred from investigating risks, lest they be targeted by an apparently socially engineered stigma of ridicule. Consider the mainstream disdain for even questioning the issue of 5G and wireless radiation - an issue that literally and figuratively slowly wraps around us all.



Image: Counterpoint Research

Kent Chamberlin PhD, touring the UK from the U.S., knows more than most. Before rising to be Professor & Chair of the Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering at University of New Hampshire, he was taught as a young faculty member to prioritise designs that were faster and used less power - but never urged to consider less radiation.

His 2021 New Hampshire Commission has proven a go-to source of peer-reviewed scientific information for those fighting 5G masts and antennas in their local communities. The Commission included experts from the fields of physics, toxicology, electromagnetics, epidemiology, biostatistics, occupational health medicine, public health policy, business and law. They relied upon peer-reviewed papers and the regulatory agencies' own information, and they concluded that wireless telecommunication antennas needed to be placed at least 500m from residences, parks, playgrounds, hospitals, nursing homes, day care centres and schools.

It is a familiar story of modern times. Local Republicans and Democrats sensed an issue more important than their old tribal divisions, and the New Hampshire Commission initially secured bipartisan support. The Commission also rattled feathers, as top experts were discussing inconvenient possibilities.

Corporate interests mobilised, allegedly 'captured agencies' did their thing and the continuation of the unabated roll-out of wireless technology was ensured.

Following the New Hampshire Commission guidelines is an even more forlorn hope here in the UK - 5G antennas are frequently allowed in close proximity to primary schools and nurseries, sometimes not even requiring planning permission from the local council.

Kent described to UK audiences the potted history of the issue, how international standards set in the 1980s, and informed by a behavioural study on just 8 rats and 5 monkeys that lasted less than one hour, have been used to inform radiation exposure limits for a society that now has virtually 24/7 exposure.

The talks set out how international agencies apply mast and antenna guidelines designed for adults as the default, while evidence has shown variations in tolerance, with children, the elderly and the chronically ill appearing more vulnerable to its effects.

Meanwhile, the tide of 'progress' and public demand for must-have gadgets and devices continues inexorably. Yet, since 2015, Lloyd's has declined to provide insurance cover for illnesses caused by continuous long-term non-ionising radiation exposure.

We can also speculate that ever more powerful antennas may also be crucial to the future delivery of technology such as driverless cars, and to wider agendas that will exert more control and monitoring over our everyday lives.

Many are now waking up to the sense of an on-running information war, a propaganda war, a spiritual war, even - one being fought on many fronts. And there are not many fronts less immediately rewarding than this.

Many campaigners sit alone in dark rooms, poring over the intricacies of legal statutes, planning regulations and electromagnetic frequency readings. Some have poured thousands of their own life savings into costly legal battles against a hostile establishment that appears to hold all the cards. It is a sobering reality of our times.

Yet, one of the features of the last few years has been the great awakening; the mobilisation of people power, often across international borders. The American Professor began his tour in the small Sussex town of Bexhill, a town and county that have consistently punched above their weight in the struggles of the last few years.

He was originally invited to the UK to address a Vodafone meeting - a window-dressing PR exercise perhaps, but either way it presented a valuable opportunity to take his message around the UK at the same time.

The first night was standing room only. Slowly but surely, there are signs that the persistence and dedication of him and others are being rewarded and their message is getting through.

People power has already had its victories with inappropriately sited masts and antennas being refused after public objections. Many more will have a part to play, if the same momentum is to be continued.

BBC Verify - protecting you from the truth

by **IAIN DAVIS**

Conspiracies causing real world harm NOT conspiracy theorists



BBC's Disinformation expert, 27-year-old Marianna Spring

WITH great fanfare, the BBC has launched BBC Verify. The state broadcaster's very own disinformation and social media correspondent, Marianna Spring, announced its arrival live on UK TV.

She explained that the BBC would verify videos, fact check, and counter 'disinformation'. So rest assured, no one needs to think about anything; The BBC will fact check everything for us and tell us what the truth is.

Apparently, it really matters that the BBC acts as the UK government's official arbiter of truth because, according to Spring, 'mistruths' can 'cause really serious harm to society'.

Spring has yet to define 'harm' but that doesn't really matter. The government hasn't either, despite the fact that it has placed its vague concept of harm at the centre of its equally ambiguous Online Safety Bill, the proposed state censorship legislation that Spring is very keen to promote.

She is very concerned about, what she calls, social media disaster trolls. She is seemingly referring to people who understand that the government is among those that often rely upon false flag terrorist attacks when they want to pass oppressive surveillance legislation or justify their next war.

'Disaster trolls,' she alleges, 'cause real-world harm' - by questioning the often implausible and contradictory accounts of people involved in false flag terrorist attacks, presumably.

Spring hasn't clarified whether disaster trolls are the people who ask questions or those who abuse others online. To be fair, that distinction is probably moot, because it appears Spring, the BBC and the government want to silence everyone who disagrees with them.

Spring told the nation that she has undercover accounts which she has set up to deceive people on social media. She claimed that these help the BBC news team understand 'polarisation online'. But the BBC itself is seemingly causing a fair bit of polarisation, with fake accounts and endless accusations levelled against anyone who questions the state.

The fake accounts help the BBC nail down just how social media works.Spring said that her online undercover activities are helping her to investigate the UK's conspiracy theory movement. I wish her well, but I fear this is going to be a monumentally difficult task, because there is no such thing as the UK's 'conspiracy theory movement'.

'Conspiracy theory' is just a term the CIA weaponised to help them shut down any debate about who shot JFK, by attaching the dismissive label on anwyone who dared to question the U.S. Government's official account. It really doesn't mean anything more than that. Alleged conspiracy theorists are just people who question government narratives.

This may go some way to explaining why attempts by the establishment to lucidly define conspiracy theories are frequently absurd. For example, according to the UN, a conspiracy theory is 'a belief that events are secretly manipulated behind the scene by powerful forces with negative intent'.

Typically, the people who get labelled as conspiracy theorists point toward real evidence that possibly indicates real conspiracies. They only remain secrets if you refuse to look at the evidence.

The UN then adds to its own confusion by stating that 'a conspiracy theory can be identified, in part, because there is evidence that seems to support the conspiracy theory'. Quite how you find evidence that *seems* to support something is mystifying.

However, we do get some contradictory clarification from the academics the UN selected to back up its bizarre contention. In *The Conspiracy Theory Handbook*, cited by the UN as evidence, Professor Stephen Lewandowsky and John Cook PhD, from George Mason University's Center for Climate Change Communication, stated: 'Real conspiracies do exist. The U.S. National Security Agency secretly spied on civilian internet users. We know about these conspiracies through internal industry documents, government investigations, or whistleblowers.'

So conspiracies do exist! What are the UN rambling on about then? Are they secret or not? We get further clues from the UN's eminent experts: 'Real conspiracies get discovered through conventional thinking - healthy scepticism of official accounts while carefully considering available evidence and being committed to internal consistency.'

This raises the question: what is the difference between the evidence that seems to support the conspiracy theory and the evidence that seems to expose a real conspiracy?

The answer is, at least, forthcoming: 'Conspiracy theories, by contrast, tend to persist for a long time even when there is no decisive evidence for them. Typically, conspiracy theories are not supported by evidence that withstands scrutiny.'

Ah, I see!

The real conspiracies are exposed by a novel type of evidence called 'decisive evidence'. This is different from the evidence that 'seems to support the conspiracy theory', because only it can withstand scrutiny. Although, neither the UN nor its employed academics specify who should scrutinise it.

Presumably, we can expect Spring and the BBC Verify team to scrutinise the evidence offered by those she labels conspiracy theorists, in order to debunk it. This will certainly represent a sea change for the BBC because, to date, they haven't even reported any of the evidence offered by so-called conspiracy theorists, let alone scrutinised it.


Spring promises to expose the nonexistent 'UK conspiracy theory movement' with her new investigative series, *Marianna in Conspiracyland*. This, she claims, will enable the BBC audience to see how Spring and her colleagues 'piece together the truth'.

I suspect BBC Verify will prove to be quite illuminating. But not for the reasons that Spring and the BBC hope.

- ***The Disillusioned Blogger: https://iaindavis.com***
- ***Online Safety Bill: https://tinyurl.com/yxtph6h4***

School is no place for children

Author **David Adelman** is interviewed by **Richard House**



***Richard House [RH]:** David, as we're both Oxbridge-educated, we know the education world close-up. When did you wake up to the nature of the schooling system, and what realisations did you have?*

David Adelman [DA]: I was eight years old, having just enjoyed a Year 4 of immense fun with one particular classmate who loved clowning around as much as I did. We were then deliberately separated and put in different classes; school was never the same again. I soon realised that schooling was no laughing matter. Having fun, apparently, is seen as a threat to the status quo. Of course, I didn't fully appreciate all the implications, but the seeds of disillusion and discontent were well and truly sown.

***RH:** That's an early awakening. Did you later start to put theoretical bones on your disaffection, e.g., by reading literature challenging institutional schooling?*

DA: I'm not much of an academic. 'Academic' means 'of no practical use or value' (Oxford English Dictionary). I've never really read much about schooling; I prefer hands-on observation. In Spain in my early thirties, I taught English as a foreign language, and saw how the Spanish education system turns out generation after generation of young people with substantial English Language qualifications, but who can barely understand or speak a word of it. Learning a language is an organic, multi-sensorial experience, while learning a language in school is mainly a textbook exercise that simply gives the teacher a job and the student a worthless paper qualification.

RH: Can you describe how the current schooling system militates against multi-sensorial experiential learning? – including the damage this does to healthy child development.

DA: How long have we got? Young children are bundles of restless energy and boundless creativity. Incarcerating them in a room and confining them to a chair traumatises them both psychologically and physically. It deprives them of life and lived experiences. Without lived experiences in a risk-filled environment, they do not develop wisdom, common sense or a moral compass. Playful, fun and engaging activities are not seen as relevant to learning in schools - it's all about mechanical tasks and checking boxes, so any natural eagerness to learn and innate imaginative creativity inevitably take a nosedive.

A study sponsored by NASA, following 1,600 boys and girls from 2005 to 2015, found that schooling decimates creative, out-of-the-box thinking and the amazing outcomes it can produce. At age 5 (in 2005), 98% of the boys and girls were found to be creative geniuses. After five years of schooling (in 2010 at age 10), it was less than one third. After a further five years of schooling (in 2015 at age 15), barely one in ten had their creative genius intact.

In a class of 30 children, with the centre of attention mostly being the teacher, each child gets 10–20 seconds per hour of class airtime to express who they really are and what they feel, think or want. I call this 'oxygen starvation'. Without oxygen we cannot breathe or show up as living individuals; we die.

I refer to the outcome of this schooling model as 'collective solitary confinement', and to the effect it has on us as 'complex post-traumatic stress disorder'. It's complex in the sense that it's acquired over time, is subtle and endured by all of us, and therefore hidden in plain sight.

RH: That's a damning testimony. Can you say something about the implications of all this for later in life? And tell us something about your book.

DA: You'll likely have noticed a recent deterioration in humanity. People leave school demoralised, addicted to all kinds of things (especially AI-related) and, above all, dumbed down. There is a pandemic of nescience, i.e., not knowing what we don't know. And if we don't know what we don't know, how on Earth can we fix any problems brought about by that not knowing?

The globalists know exactly what we do not know. That's the upper hand they have, and it's the secret of mainstream schooling. The global agenda can only be achieved inside classrooms that are captured – and they have been. The system is a Godless, faithless platform in which materialism is rife, and the spirit and joy of learning and living are compromised, usually for life.

Schools are churning out generations of what I call narcissistic cynics [narcynics? - Ed.] It's the 'me, me, me' generation with an overblown sense of its own importance. God's been removed from the bigger picture – replaced by the expert or celebrity, with people now self-identifying as always right, always righteous.

Any dissenting voice is quashed as not coming from approved authority figures. Today's youngsters also have an unbearable arrogance towards their elders, seeing them as the great uneducated – 'If you don't have a recent qualification, you're a nobody'. I'm always keen to stress how schooling is now virtually 100 per cent academic, therefore 'of no practical use or value!'

Much of this philosophy is expanded in my book. Many parents have removed their children from school simply after reading chapter one.

Today, keeping your children out of school is the only humane option. But if not immediately practicable, I've developed an in-class remedy which we might feature in a future interview.

We're safeguarding the children's future, and it's they who must learn the art of self-affirming, peaceful non-compliance.

- ***https://thepeopleslawyeruk.com/***
- ***Book:* School - No Place for Children – *http://amzn.eu/hBOq2JB***

Barry Bennell: 'Demonic' paedophile

by **TOMMY HOLGATE**

Pro footballer victim Andy's heartbreaking account of serial abuse



Above: Andy Woodward (centre) and fellow campaigner Andy Marsh (left) with Gareth Icke

WHILE a trainee at Crewe Alexandra Football Club, Andy Woodward was a victim of now infamous abuser, Barry Bennell. He alerted the police in 2016, which led to a long list of footballers coming forward to name Bennell as their abuser.

Bennell was convicted, but one statement of Andy's that sticks out is that you should know there was more than one abuser.

Andy visited the Ickonic studios with his friend and fellow campaigner, Andy Marsh, to appear on *Gareth Icke Tonight*.

Here is an extract from their conversation.

Did you always want to be a footballer? Did you always know that was your path?

Yes, from a very young age. As a young child, I always had a ball under my arm. My dad played football when he was younger, and I watched football with him, and it was my passion from being in primary school; to then get a gig at Crewe, it was pretty prestigious.

How early did the abuse start? Was anything happening that made you think: 'This guy's not right'?

Yeah, it was only within about three or four weeks and the grooming process started, as I didn't know then but I do now, and then he'd just start tipping up at my Mum and Dad's, saying: "Oh, I'm just in the area."

Then after a few weeks, he suggested coming and staying at weekends just to enhance my football, and I could go to a game with him or do extra training. That's how he got into the process of grooming my parents first; and then the abuse pretty much started within two weeks of going.

You must have been pretty confused as a child as to what was going on?

You know, they say from the age of four to eight, quite a lot of abuse victims can't remember [what happened]; they have no recollection, but their path of life is quite similar or the same as mine. But at ten, I did remember everything and, you know, it's a case of the empowerment that they have. You freeze.

Is that what happens, that you just feel helpless against it?

Yeah, completely helpless.

Did you alert anyone when you were ten and you knew what was going on?

No. Zero. And other abuse victims, some people wait until their 20s, 30s, 40s, 50s or even their 60s and 70s before saying anything. Some people take it to the grave. Some people will never speak about this. And so it's that empowerment, and power the abuser has over you - it was not something that I could speak about.

Were other players in a similar situation as well?

Yes, his method was to choose two players - the most vulnerable ones whose parents had been successfully groomed. And then the rest would go on trips out as a team. You could physically see that he was under the covers touching other players.

I'm quite open-minded and rarely am I surprised by stuff in this world, but this subject - I still can't compute it. Was that something that you had to go through? To just try and process exactly what was happening?

Yes, it's a long, long process. I mean, I have had 20 years of therapy to actually get the realisation and understand it. My current therapist said: "You could do my job and many more without even thinking about it", because it's about understanding what abusers do and why they do it, and also the understanding that it's not your fault as a child.

Those are two things that are the most difficult, because it's always 'why?', and you feel that it's your fault when it's not. Acceptance that it's not your fault as you're a child - that's number one.

And number two is understanding what these people are because, you know, the devastating life sentence that they give you and the judicial system around the world is terrible, but it's a life sentence. I can tell you that.

How would you describe Bennell then? Because he's not human. There's no humanity there. Is it almost like he's demonic?



Barry Bennell

That's exactly how I put it. A demonic, satanic human being, that shouldn't even be on this planet, but is. They are also very, very clever, methodical people who know exactly what they're doing.

- ***WATCH this shocking interview on Gareth Icke Tonight at www.ickonic.com - a seven-day free trial is available.***

Parallels of manipulation

by **WOLF KAMOME**

Creating fear of threats that do not exist

Democracy was contemptuously abused by hidden forces that had the political and financial power to manipulate public opinion



Propaganda misrepresented motive, moulding fear into hysteria and empowering the madness that swept reason aside

THE tidal wave of tyranny in the name of science has unmasked evil as a palpable force and actual presence.

It is a time when academic and bestselling author, Dr Naomi Wolf, writes in *The Bodies of Others* about the 'massive edifice of evil' we witnessed during 2020-22; 'the presence of such rampant, elemental evil' in which 'I felt a darkness beyond anything human.'

What came to the fore during the fully orchestrated 'pandemic' could be said to have started 130 years earlier.

According to *Hidden History*, a book on the subject of the secret origins of the First World War: 'A secret society of rich and powerful men was established in London in 1891 with the long-term aim of taking control of the entire world.'

The book reveals the 'deliberately concocted lie' regarding the origins of WWI; that it was neither the Germans nor the Russians, nor the British or any other rightfully elected government that pushed for war.

Instead, it was a secret cabal of international bankers, industrialists and other powerful, unelected men, as well as their political agents, who were 'responsible for one of the most heinous crimes perpetrated on humanity'.

Reading *Hidden History*, I was struck by the similarity of rhetoric and vocabulary employed in the run-up to the Great War, and what was used on people during the covid-19 p(l)andemic:

'Democracy was contemptuously abused by hidden forces that had the political and financial power to manipulate public opinion. Propaganda misrepresented motive, moulding fear into hysteria and empowering the madness that swept reason aside.'

Sound familiar?

Cecil Rhodes, initiator of the 'Jesuit-like secret society' (a church for the extension of the British Empire), looks upon men as 'machines' and is 'quite unscrupulous as to the means he employs'.

Apart from enormous wealth, those in the secret society's inner circle were highly influential people. The self-made man and gifted academic, Alfred Lord Milner, took over the position of leading the 'men behind the curtain' after Cecil Rhodes' death.

The following sounds eerily synchronistic to what is happening today too: the death of Queen Victoria in 1901 'came as no surprise, since her health had been deteriorating for some time. Nevertheless, it was a shock to the nation because Victoria had been queen for 63 years and the vast majority had known no other monarch.'

The ascent of Edward VII heralded a significant change and was crucially important for the secret cabal. The Prince of Wales ascending the British throne; King Edward VII, freemason and supporter of the secret cabal; King Charles III, supporter of the World Economic Forum and its globalist agendas (the 'Great Reset').

The secret cabal turned the British people's desire for peace on its head through an age-old weapon - fear. By manufacturing this 'crisis', they succeeded in generating a climate of fear about a threat that didn't exist.

Since by 1913, all attempts to goad Germany into war had failed, they now shifted tactics and focused on Germany's ally, Austria, by way of the Balkans. The fateful assassination of the heir to the Habsburg monarchy triggered a military threat against Serbia, which led to the final and fatal blow: Russia's mobilisation.

The secret cabal had finally achieved its desperate goal, plunging Europe and the rest of the world into the abyss.

The 'duty of obedience to government' is one of the cabal's 'moral ideas' and plainly reflects their ideal of top-down hierarchy as they 'feared that all culture and civilisation would go down to destruction, because of our inability to construct some kind of political unity larger than the nation-state.'

After the Second World War, the globalist baton in their race for a 'One World Government' was passed on from the ageing British Empire to the more youthful United States – the superpower which has been the driving force behind globalisation, up until now.

The global elites will continue their dirty business of digital ID, digital currencies, pandemic hoaxes, total surveillance, and so forth, ending in a fully digitalised, transhumanist nightmare of total control and no freedom, where the delirious fantasies of Klaus Schwab, of chip implants in brains, and surveillance from 'under the skin' become reality.

But there is one thing they don't reckon with in this spiritual battle for the soul of man - spirituality is something they don't have, as the trial lawyer Dr Reiner Fuellmich has pointed out.

And Dr Wolf writes: 'I do think we need to call, as Milton did, as Shakespeare did, as Emily Dickinson did, on help from elsewhere.'

When dark powers rage most terribly, the brightest light also shines.

- ***For longer version: https://wolfkamome.substack.com/***

The paperclip of freedom

Binding together with war-time symbol of defiance and unity



Norwegian teachers imprisoned in the Falstad concentration camp, near Trondheim, for their refusal to participate in the Nazi Teachers Association in the spring of 1942
U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum, courtesy of Unknown Provenance

by **HARRY HOPKINS**

A FRIEND turned up at a recent Stand in the Park meeting with a three-inch paperclip attached to his shirt pocket. Being the curious type and not usually missing a trick, I asked him why he was wearing it.

He told me that during World War II, Norwegians who were passively resisting the German occupation took to wearing a paperclip as a token of their resistance. I had heard of the White Rose movement in Nazi Germany, which produced underground pamphlets and literature at great risk to those involved, with some members sacrificing their lives in the process. White Rose is active again today (and in the UK too), but the paperclip movement was a new one to me.

My friend had brought with him a supply of clips that he generously gave out to the assembled 'standers'. In return, I gave him a Stand In The Park badge that says: 'We stand for freedom'.

Norway was seen by Hitler as an important strategic country because of its coastline. He knew that to break the Allied blockade of Germany, he needed a relatively safe route for transport of supplies to maintain his war effort. Norway had declared its neutrality, but this was not going to stop him from getting what he wanted, so in April 1940, Germany invaded and occupied the country.

It didn't take long for the Germans to become established, and they set about trying to Nazify the country. The King and Crown Prince of Norway had decamped to England, and the Crown Princess had sought sanctuary in America, where she became a guest of F. D. Roosevelt in the White House.

The Norwegian population lived under German rule. Teachers were told to join the Nazi party and teach Nazism in classrooms, and the church was told to obey Hitler and the state. Anti-Jewish legislation was passed. In a population of four million, some 400,000 German troops were operating in Norway by 1945. With such a high ratio of occupation forces, any acts of rebellion, resistance and defiance were perilous. However, it kept many soldiers away from more active areas of conflict, thereby reducing the German ability to counter the Allied invasions from both East and West.

In 1940, students at Oslo University started wearing paperclips on their lapels as a visual, non-violent symbol of unity, resistance and national pride. Other symbols relating to Norwegian nationality had been banned and these young people wanted a way of displaying their rejection of Nazi ideology.

Why did they choose the paperclip? While there is no definite answer, it is thought that the 'binding together' function of the clip symbolised exactly what they wanted to display: We may be under German rule but we are united in our condemnation of it. Also it was thought, mistakenly as it turned out, that the paperclip had been invented by a Norwegian by the name of Johan Vaaler.

In time, the Germans cottoned on to the symbolism of the clip and it became a crime to wear one, but by then the Norwegians had found more practical ways to annoy their occupiers. Teachers went on strike and although some were sent to prison camps, it didn't deter the vast majority, and eventually the Germans had to give up on force-feeding their ideology through the education system.

Every bishop in Norway resigned, along with 90 per cent of the clergy, and here too the Nazis had to admit defeat with regard to their edict that the church must submit to 'obedience to the leader and the state'. German was a widely spoken language pre-war, but after the occupation, the majority of the population refused to speak it.

On public transport, Germans were shunned, so it was made a crime to stand if there was a vacant seat next to a German. It must have been difficult for soldiers in a foreign country trying to find directions from a population refusing to speak their language and giving them wrong directions whenever they could.

All of us who frequent our Stand in the Park meetings are under no illusions that in 2023 we are under an occupying force that, while not stomping around in jackboots and carrying guns, are nonetheless attempting to stamp their unholy, inhuman Agenda 2030 policies on the world. Behind the summer sunshine and the distracting rubbish that passes for mainstream news, there is no doubt that we are in the fight of our lives, if life as we know it is to survive.

I've been wearing my paperclip whenever I'm out and about and have not yet seen any more being worn. I've been asked about it a couple of times, and I take great pleasure in telling the story of its Norwegian origins.

And how does it relate to the present day I'm asked? It doesn't take much to get inquisitive souls nodding in agreement when they realise and acknowledge the huge transfer of wealth that has been taking place these last three years, because they are suffering along with everyone else.

Not to mention the fact that more people are becoming aware that governments are not acting in our best interests. Five jabs plus boosters has opened a lot of eyes, although in some cases it is too late.

I shall continue to wear my paperclip as my new-found symbol of resistance. If it was good enough for the brave souls of occupied Norway, it is good enough for me. If by chance you happen to be in the sunny and exotic climes of Yorkshire - Wharfedale, to be precise - and you see a bloke of average height, average build and still sporting most of his hair, with a two-inch paperclip fastened to his jacket, it just might be me, so please stop and say hello.

NEWS SPEAK... What T

Anonymous sources	We made it up
Antisemitic	You're not allowed to say the bankers run the world
Anti-Vaxxer	Person who probably wasn't an anti-vaxxer before covid
Anti-Vaxxers	Those who want to make an informed choice about what goes into their bodies
Artificial Intelligence	A chatty Google
Baffled	Knows full well
BBC	Ministry of truth
Breaking News	Alternative news has been reporting on this for three years
Broke Lockdown Rules	Lockdowns saved lives, you must continue believing that
Caused Real-World Harm	Targeted by the state for censorship
CBDC	Progammable, monitorable money
CBDC	Changing Banking to Digital Control
Climate Change	The weather
Climate Change Denier	Knows that CO2 does not affect temperatures
Climate Emergency	You should be afraid of the weather
Collateral Damage	Dead civilians
Conspiracy Theory	Any alternative explanation, often involving the basic evidence, that deviates in any way from what is presented in the media
Conspiracy Theorist	Insult for someone who thinks the government might be lying
Conspiracy Theorists	People who retain critical thinking, ask questions and do their own research
Constant Threat Of	Stay paranoid
Covid-19	Flu/pneumonia
Covid Vaccine	Biological military weapon
Democracy	An illusion that you have a say in your slavery
Democratic	Hegelian dialectic
Denier	Someone not falling for our bs

Died Suddenly	Covid injection death
Disinformation Correspondent	Propagandist
During The Pandemic	Please continue to believe in the bogeyman
Economic Sanctions	Which only ever affect ordinary people
Elections	Pre-selections
Enhanced Interrogation Techniques	Torture
Entertainer	Artist whose livelihood depends on supporting the narrative
Expert	University graduate whose livelihood depends on supporting the narrative
Experts Agree	Cherry-picked and compliant ones do
Experts Say	We made up a narrative and paid people
Extremely Rare	Quite common
Extremist	Opponent
Fact-Checker	Fact denier
Fact-Checkers	Narrative pushers
Far-Right	Liberal
Far-Right Extremist	Libertarian
5G	Radioactive tracking system
6G	Real-time 360 surveillance of everyone and everything.
For The Safety Of Everyone	Getting you to spy on each other
Fringe	Regular, ordinary, average
Google	Let us do the thinking for you
Harms Caused By The Pandemic	Harms caused by the insane policies
Has Links With	Exchanged an email with
Heightened Threat	Be more terrified
Holds Extremist Views	See Conspiracy Theorist
Inclusivity	Collectivism; no individuality allowed
Insurgents	Defending their homeland against the invaders

WALL TO WALL NEWS

UK: Mask slips - media exposed as mouthpiece fo

WORLD: STILL NO GLOBAL WARMING AFTER DECADE

They Really Mean

International Bankers	Puppet masters
It Is Claimed/Understood	We are lying to you, or we've been told this by one source who will never have to prove their story
Journalist	Establishment mouthpiece
Liberal	Far-left
Mainstream Media	Real-time, 24/7 mass propaganda machine
May Have, Could Have, Alleged To Have	Almost certainly did not
Medical/Scientific Consensus	The facts have been decided by the highest bidder
Medical Misinformation	A second opinion
Misinformation/ Disinformation	Truth
Most People Believe	Almost no one believes, but we are trying to socially engineer you
Need For More Education	Need for increased propaganda
News Editor	Propagandist
Newsreader	Script puppet
New Virus	We're running out of ideas to scare most of you
No One Is Safe Until Everyone Is	Exaggerated threat so we can play the collectivist card
Opinion Polls Show	Whatever we want them to
Pandemic	Plandemic
Political Expert	Professional liar
Prime Minister/ President/Politician	Professional puppet
Parliament	A group of lying, self-serving actors... or an insult to a group of owls
Planned Parenthood	Abortion/sterilisation; depopulation agenda
Professor	Higher-paid 'Expert'
Propaganda	What the other side says
Public Inquiry	Whitewash and cover-up
Public-Private Partnership	New name for fascism
Rising Levels Of Disinformation	We need more censorship

Scientists Say	Brainwashed automatons in white coats sing for their supper
Safe And Effective	Depopulation tool
Safe And Effective	Unsafe and ineffective
Safe And Effective	If we keep repeating it, you will believe it and repeat it yourself
Science Correspondent	Propagandist for Big Pharma
Science Is Settled	No scientific method applied
Scientists Say	Big Pharma says
Shocking Truth Revealed	This is a limited hangout
Social Media	Giant surveillance tool
Spread Hate	Speak the truth
Spreaders Of Disinformation	People who pass on details or concerns that don't fit with the official narrative
Strong Economy	They're printing billions more
Sustainability	The soil and trees will have more rights than you
Targeting Vulnerable Minds	Talking to others who might listen
The Science Is Settled	The bribe was paid
Transphobic	Doesn't want children to be deceived and mutilated
Trusted News Source	Propaganda cartel
Truth	Only what we say
United Nations	One world totalitarian government
UFO Sightings	Remember you are insignificant
Vaccines	Delivered by pricks
We Asked For A Comment	We asked, but wouldn't dream of printing it
We Must Stay Vigilant	Make sure you're paranoid
Widely Accepted	You must believe what we are saying
World Health Organisation	Front for Big Pharma
Worrying Trends	People aren't doing what we tell them to do
Worryingly Low Levels Of Uptake	Too many people are justifiably sceptical
You Can Trust Us	To only report one side of events

Contributions: Darren Smith, Henry Widdas, Graham Crawford , Phil Escott, Louise Sharp, Chris Hemmings, Georgia Harry, Dave Ellison, John Scott, Chris Wakefield, Lee Venables, Eddie Gaupp. Artwork Mi.Ki

r powerful interests **UK:** Charles sentenced for treason against the peo

S OF FEAR **TECH: BILLIONS DUMP SPY DEVICES** **FINANCE: IS TAX UNLAV**

Battle to hold Trusted News Initiative to account

by SERENA WYLDE

Legal hearing will challenge global media censorship

IN March 2020, the BBC launched an industry partnership with other media groups to stifle independent news sites.

The sites were providing increasing amounts of alternative content to a broadening readership.

And so the Trusted News Initiative (TNI) was born to combat this and, along with the BBC, includes The Associated Press (AP), Agence-France Press (AFP), Reuters, Canadian Broadcasting Corp (CBC), European Broadcasting Union, Facebook, Financial Times, Google/YouTube, Microsoft, Twitter and The Washington Post.

The TNI's aim, as stated in memos seen by Children's Health Defense (CHD), was to destroy independent voices by labelling any stories which departed from the 'trusted news' narratives as 'misinformation' - and to deny them access to the social media sites essential to their functioning.

Its reason was that competition from independent news outlets posed a direct threat to the members' commercial interests, and was undermining trust in the larger networks.

The stratagem was an 'early warning system' that identified individuals and outlets disseminating information that the TNI did not approve of, with immediate notification to all partners. Partners would then act in concert to discredit the material, while Big Tech removed such voices and opinions from their platforms.

Otherwise known as a cartel, this practice is prohibited in the U.S. under antitrust laws.

Thus, in January, CHD filed a case in Texas on behalf of a group of plaintiffs that includes Robert F. Kennedy Jr., alleging violation of The Sherman Antitrust Act, and of the First Amendment of the Constitution, although the First Amendment does not ensure private entities are under the same obligation as the state to uphold free speech.



Two of the internet's censorship machines



Trusted News Initiative - making sure only the official narrative is believed

The lead attorney for the plaintiffs, Jed Rubenfeld, explained that the first major hurdle to overcome would likely be a motion to dismiss the case. Powerful defendants can hire teams of lawyers to outspend and exhaust plaintiffs to prevent a case from ever coming to court, and this tactic would have to be meticulously and painstakingly fought because, if a motion to dismiss fails, the case proceeds to the discovery phase, when documentary trails of communications and secret agreements are prised into the light.

In fact, the defendants moved to have the case transferred to a different forum, and on May 12, Judge Matthew J. Kacsmaryk mistakenly transferred the case prematurely, before the June 18 deadline for the plaintiffs to submit their objections to the transfer.

As a result, CHD withdrew the case, and on May 31 filed it in Louisiana. Kennedy is no longer a plaintiff in the case but he is acting as volunteer legal counsel.

So why is this an important development?

- The case is now before U.S. District Judge Terry Doughty, who is already examining three key free speech cases, all of which raise similar issues of censorship, and means he is well versed in the subject matter and equipped to preside over a case against several of the world's largest news organisations.
- The somewhat aptly-named Judge Doughty will be the arbiter of whether to grant any new request to have the case transferred to another forum, and will determine whether the case has the merits to proceed or not.
- The plaintiffs have requested a trial by jury, as the magnitude of censorship and resulting harm to the populace at large demands that The People themselves decide the case, the outcome of which will not easily be censored.

● ***https://tinyurl.com/4e8r68uk***

Livestock facing ‘nimble’ mRNA vaccines



Rebekah Barnett reports from **Western Australia**

Find her work at **https://rebekahbarnett.substack.com/**

Check out our sister paper ***The Light Australia*** *at* **thelightaustralia.com**



Soon to be mRNA’d

IT has been announced that mRNA vaccines are being fast-tracked for Australian agriculture.

Meat and Livestock Australia (MLA) recently revealed funding for a new project to produce and test mRNA vaccines for rapid mass production in Australia.

The project will initially focus on a vaccine for Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD), with a view to expanding into mRNA vaccines for other livestock diseases down the track. MLA reports that mRNA vaccines are promising in part because they are more ‘nimble’ than traditional vaccines, which have a longer registration process under Australian regulations.

NEW Zealand (NZ) Jacinda Ardern has been awarded a damehood for her leadership during the covid pandemic and the 2019 Christchurch shootings.



Jacinda Ardern

Commentators have observed that the award seems hasty, as the official review of the NZ Government’s covid response is still in progress (it is likely to conclude in mid-2024).


Ardern famously smiled in agreement at the description of her vaccine mandate policies as creating a ‘two-tier society’, in which one group had full civil rights, and the other did not, on the basis of their willingness to receive covid injections. While NZ fared comparatively well in the first two years of the pandemic, excess deaths in 2022 were at record highs, as is the case in many highly vaccinated countries.



IN Western Australia, the introduction of new laws intended to protect Aboriginal cultural heritage has sparked controversy amongst farmers, who fear that the added layer of bureaucracy will grind projects to a halt.

Farmers have also expressed concerns about the administrative costs, the subjective nature of the laws opening farmers up to large fines (up to $1m), and the potential for the system to turn into a racket.

Under the laws, landowners are required to pay for a permit before carrying out certain activities, such as digging fences or clearing tracks. Some activities will require the landowner to pay an Aboriginal consultant (fees vary from $80 per hour to $1,200 per day, depending on the seniority and expertise of the consultant, with an additional loading for remote areas) to determine whether the activity is likely to cause harm to Aboriginal cultural heritage.



IN New South Wales (NSW), the birth rate has plummeted.

Total births dropped by 17 per cent, from 24,693 in the first three months of 2021 to 20,435 in the corresponding period for this year, according to figures from NSW Births, Deaths and Marriages (2022 omitted due to an increase in births attributed to ‘lockdown conceptions’). Experts attribute the sharp decline in NSW births to housing anxieties (covered in *The Light* last month) and ‘climate change’ concerns.

Others have drawn comparisons between the ‘lockdown conception boom’, which resulted in an increase in births in early to mid-2022, and the baby boom after World War II, after which there was a temporary decline in births. By early 2022, over 95% of the NSW adult population (16+) had received at least two doses of covid vaccines, which have been associated in peer-reviewed studies with impacts on sperm motility and menstrual cycles.

NEW laws passed in Queensland to modernise birth certificates will allow children as young as 12 to change their sex and name on their birth certificates, without parental consent.

Children will need to first be assessed by a ‘developmentally informed practitioner’ to qualify for the birth certificate change. Other changes to be implemented under the laws include the allowance for two registered fathers or mothers on a child’s birth certificate (previously, only one person could be registered as a child’s mother or father), and sexual reassignment surgery will no longer be required to formally register a change of sex.

KEY government figures in Australia’s pandemic response have resigned: West Australian Premier, Mark McGowan, and Victoria’s Chief Health Officer, Brett Sutton.

Both men enjoyed ‘rock star’ status during the pandemic for their paternalistic, hard-line policies which are still widely perceived by the public to have kept them safe.



Mark McGowan

An embittered minority will remember them for the human and civil rights abuses and other harms caused under their watch.

Sutton has moved into a national role as the Director of Health and Biosecurity at CSIRO, Australia’s national science agency. McGowan is yet to announce his next role.

References

mRNA vaccines for livestock

https://tinyurl.com/mwc7mcsd

https://tinyurl.com/3suza7f4

Jacinda Ardern damehood

https://tinyurl.com/4cz5p5ud

https://tinyurl.com/4purpfsu

Aboriginal cultural heritage bill Western Australia

https://tinyurl.com/2cm5zwjs

https://tinyurl.com/4bunfbjw

https://tinyurl.com/yc3cjvmy

NSW births

https://tinyurl.com/yc3scvx2

QLD new birth certificate laws

https://tinyurl.com/4psmhmc3

https://tinyurl.com/nydkztc5

NZ Gov covid response review

https://tinyurl.com/mr2ttyt9

NSW vaccination rate

https://tinyurl.com/yvwf3258

Creep towards slavery becoming a sprint

Next global crisis will further push digital currency agenda

by **BRANDON SMITH**

DURING the covid plandemic, organisations like the World Economic Forum began widely promoting a concept called the 'Great Reset'.

It was an agenda sometimes whispered about in banker conferences as far back as 15 years ago, but now the Reset was being promoted openly in the media and at Davos.

It's a new economic paradigm, a revolution in which AI runs everything, humanity is relegated to a limited number of vital jobs, and a new brand of technological socialism rules our lives.



Programmable digital currencies will mean the end of personal freedom

Private property would be cast aside and the populace would live day-to-day within a 'shared economy' in which no one owns anything and everything is borrowed from the collective system.

The Reset, or the 'Fourth Industrial Revolution' as they sometimes call it, would be the start of a new terrifying age of feudalism. A return to the oligarch and peasant model; a return to enslavement.

The average person would only be allowed to work as a means to survive, never to accumulate wealth for the future. And each peasant's survival would be utterly dependent on their access to the system, which could be taken away at the push of a button.

The primary stepping stone to this dystopian nightmare would be a digital currency. Without a cashless society, the globalists would have no power to enforce the other elements of their Reset. But when and how will they implement this monstrosity, and why would anyone embrace it?

Globalists tend to operate in stages of incrementalism, but sometimes they create and exploit dramatic crisis events in order to frighten the population into compliance with policies that would have taken decades to introduce otherwise.

We saw this clearly with the pandemic - most of the Reset concepts were revealed to the public during this time, perhaps because the globalists thought they had it in the bag and there was nothing anyone could do to stop them. This even included consistent talk of cashless systems to 'prevent the spread of covid on physical dollars'.

But covid, with its tiny infection fatality rate, failed to frighten people long enough and the opportunity fell apart. Today, the question is when will they try again?

Most globalist organisations consistently mention the year 2030 as their timeline for finishing the numerous projects they have in place, including the Great Reset along with multiple climate and carbon taxation goals.

The WEF calls it 'a social contract to transform our world by 2030'. The UN simply calls it Agenda 2030. This means the establishment wants to have their control grid in place within less than seven years.

That would be impossible without a bone-rattling crisis of epic proportions, but first they would have to introduce a number of future mechanisms as a trial run. That way, when disaster does occur, the public will be acclimated to the solutions that the rulers will ask them to adopt.

In the case of digital currencies, crypto has already received widespread exposure in popular media. Most people don't own crypto and hardly anyone uses it, but they have all heard of it, and many trade it. CBDCs will likely ride the crypto wave and will be presented as a safer and more stable digital option.

For now, Australia seems to be the primary guinea pig for fielding CBDCs to a large western population. Their pilot programmes are set to finish this summer and international transactions have been accomplished using the digital eAUD currency unit.

Globalists know that countries like America will not accept a fully cashless system without a complete collapse of their existing currency and economy. It's just not going to happen otherwise, and I have doubts that many Americans will accept such a system even after a collapse. The majority of Americans, 59%, say they like to have cash with them for various purchases.

Though western consumers make payments more often with bank cards, they still enjoy having physical money when they want it.

The implications of intrusive digital surveillance of every single purchase and transfer of funds is not lost on a large portion of the population. People know that if they give the government a telescope into their wallets, eventually that information will be used against them. Take away the option of anonymity and millions of people will resist, even if they have nothing in particular to hide.

Conversion to a cashless system would require calamity and force, a full spectrum crisis throughout the U.S. and much of the western world in the next few years, along with another few years or more of reconstruction to bring in CBDC mechanisms.

Small businesses would have to be removed from the picture, leaving only major corporations which could then refuse to accept cash as a means of payment from consumers. This would be one method of expediting the cashless system, along with outright government confiscation of physical paper.

That said, there is another rather blunt way to push Americans into CBDCs that the globalists seem to be expediting – the death of the dollar's world reserve currency status. Only five years ago, sceptics argued that the dollar would be king for many decades to come.

Today, those same people are eerily quiet as the IMF announces its own global CBDC called the UMU, and BRICs nations quickly move away from the Greenback in bilateral trade.

If the U.S. dollar loses a majority of its buying power through inflation and the loss of reserve status, it may be easier to convince the populace to abandon it for a digital replacement.

This would mean another crisis even more pervasive than the covid pandemic would have to take place soon, in order for the rulers to get what they want. The longer they wait, the more people become educated on their agenda and the less likely it will be to succeed.

- ***https://tinyurl.com/3r7r8hhn***

International news roundup

ZELENSKY signs bill banning Russian books

Ukrainian President Vladimir Zelensky announced last month he's signed into effect a new law banning Russian books and publications.

The law blocks any new Russian and Belarusian publications from being imported into the country. "I believe this law is the right decision," Zelensky wrote of the measure.

But Ukraine has at the same time urged European authorities to fast-track the country into the EU, which could now be further complicated by this latest move.

Ukrainian national media itself has noted this will be a significant hindrance to future EU membership.

As The New Voice of Ukraine (republished by Yahoo) points out: "The new law has faced criticism and was vetoed by the Ministry of Justice and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs for potentially violating certain provisions of the Ukrainian Constitution, and for not aligning with EU law."

The move comes after Ukrainian citizens registered an online petition on the official presidential website asking for the ban back in May. The petition reached the 25,000-vote threshold required for it to be formally considered by the head of state.

The author of the petition noted that the Ukrainian parliament had already approved the law on June 19, 2022, but that Zelensky had never signed the bill. As a result, Russian books continued to be sold in Ukraine, which undermines 'the information security of the state and the economic foundations of Ukrainian book publishing,' according to the petition.

A key driving factor which unleashed civil war in the Donbas region in 2014 in the first place was Kiev's ongoing crackdown against Russian language and culture, impacting many millions of Ukrainians.

Crimea (now Russian-controlled), and even large swathes of Ukraine, has populations which speak Russian as their first and only language.

Ukraine's Foreign Ministry had remarked on the current version of bill that it 'does not meet the norms and standards of the EU in the field of human rights, including freedom of opinion, protection of the rights of national minorities, prohibition of discrimination on the basis of language, and therefore may complicate the process of negotiations on Ukraine's accession to the European Union.'

FIRST major world politician apologises to the unvaccinated: 'You were right, we were wrong'

Danielle Smith, the Premier of Alberta, Canada, is the first major elected politician in the world to issue a heartfelt apology to the unvaccinated for crimes perpetrated against their human rights by the government during covid lockdowns.

Given that we now understand covid 'vaccines' do not stop transmission of the disease, and have caused millions of sudden deaths in young, healthy people, Premier Smith was asked if she would apologise on behalf of the state of Alberta for the government's authoritarian treatment of the unvaccinated. She replied:

"I can apologise right now. I'm deeply sorry," she said, "for anyone who was inappropriately subjected to discrimination as a result of their vaccine status, I'm deeply sorry. For any government employee that was fired from their job, because of their vaccine status, and I welcome them back if they want to come back."

Even more amazing than the heartfelt apology, Premier Smith said she was seriously considering granting a legal amnesty to any Albertans charged with lockdown offences.

Alberta is the first jurisdiction in Canada — perhaps even in the world — to apologise to its unvaccinated citizens for discriminating against them.

According to Smith, the unvaccinated are the most discriminated people she has ever witnessed in her lifetime.

Unsurprisingly, the Premier is now the subject of attacks by mainstream media and Big Tech, who are attempting to silence her.

In June, Premier Smith announced Facebook had inexplicably suspended her account for a few days.

"Big tech and government censorship is becoming a danger to free speech around the world," Smith protested, in a tweet tagging Twitter owner Elon Musk and Meta CEO Mark Zuckerberg.

"As the Premier of a province of 4.6 million Albertans — if they can prevent me from communicating with you, imagine what they can do to any one of us.

"Regardless of our political leanings, we must all stand against censorship."

IRISH Green Party calls for limiting free speech

The Irish Green Party followed many on the left across the world, including the U.S. Democratic Party, and came out for censorship and speech controls. Indeed, the party went full Orwellian as its chairwoman Pauline O'Reilly called for 'restricting freedom' to protect it.

O'Reilly's comments are part of the introduction of the Criminal Justice (Incitement to Violence or Hatred and Hate Offences) Bill 2022, which is nothing more than another massive assault on free speech.

The legislation would criminalise 'incitement to violence or hatred against people with protected characteristics', as well as 'condoning, denying or grossly trivialising genocide, war crimes, crimes against humanity and crimes against peace'.

Limiting free speech has become an article of faith for many on the left. As someone who grew up in a liberal, politically active Democratic family in Chicago, I have despaired at watching the abandonment of free speech values by the party.

Democratic leaders now uniformly call for censorship and speech regulations. President Biden even charged that companies who refused to censor opposing views on social media were 'killing people.' Others have denounced free speech as 'a white man's obsession'.

The anti-free speech movement has become openly Orwellian in claiming to protect freedom by limiting freedom. It also employs newspeak terms like disinformation, misinformation, and malinformation to obscure their efforts to silence those with opposing views. Rather than use 'censorship', they refer to 'content moderation' and 'fact-checking'.

That effort was on full display in June in Ireland with this anti-free speech legislation. Speaking before the Irish Senate (Seanad) , O'Reilly declared: "When one thinks about it, all law and all legislation is about the restriction of freedom. This is exactly what we are doing here. We are restricting freedom, but we are doing it for the common good."

It is the same message of New York democrats calling for limiting speech as a way of protecting democracy. Indeed, former Clinton Labour Secretary Robert Reich has declared free speech is 'tyranny'.

O'Reilly assured citizens that giving up freedom was nothing new or threatening: "Throughout our Constitution, one can see that while one has rights, they are restricted for the common good. Everything needs to be balanced."

What is particularly chilling is how low the threshold is for denying free speech, according to O'Reilly. It now appears that 'deep discomfort' is enough:

"If a person's views on other people's identities make their lives unsafe and insecure, and cause them such deep discomfort that they cannot live in peace, our job as legislators is to restrict those freedoms for the common good."

What is interesting is that O'Reilly admits that there is nothing new about hateful views, but it is time to clear out such voices: "Social media has fuelled hatred, but it has also put on display for all of us the dirty, filthy, underbelly of hatred in Irish society. That hatred has always existed." Of course, she and the majority will determine which views create 'deep discomfort', and which views can be tolerated, despite causing deep discomfort to others.

The Irish legislation is likely to be replicated across the world, if the free speech community cannot hold the line against the anti-free speech movement. It is part of an unrelenting movement in Europe, particularly by the European Union, to roll back Western free speech values that once defined countries.

45,000 police officers deployed across Paris after rioters heavily damaged public property amid widespread outrage over the deadly police shooting of an immigrant teenage boy

The unrest came after 17-year-old Nahel M. was shot dead by a police officer during a traffic stop in Nanterre, just outside Paris, on June 27. The deadly encounter was captured on video.

Across France, 5,000 vehicles were torched and nearly 1,000 buildings damaged, many of them by arson, including the Bibliothèque de l'Alcazar in Marseille. There have been at least 20 attacks on police barracks, untold thousands of arrests and at least 700 police injuries. Demonstrations against police violence continue.

Meanwhile, France's government has passed a new bill allowing police to remotely activate the phone cameras, microphones, and geolocation of suspects without their knowledge on the heels of ongoing protests in the country.

Under the 'Justice reform bill', the police will be able to monitor criminal suspects through the activation of the suspects' phones, laptops, cars, and other connected electronics, French media *Le Monde* reported on July 6.

The bill also enables the police to record sound and capture images of people suspected of committing terrorism-related offences, organised crime, and delinquency, according to the report.

● ***All via https://zerohedge.com***

Cancer - it's not what we're told

Part 3

by DAWN LESTER & DAVID PARKER

Treatments cause spread of disease

Chemo toxins responsible for secondary cancers

IN parts one and two, we showed that the medical establishment does not provide the public with correct information about most of the aspects of the condition that is given the label 'cancer'.

Another erroneous idea is that cancer spreads to other parts of the body in a process called 'metastasis', also called a secondary cancer, because it almost invariably occurs in a different organ from that of the primary cancer.

There are a number of reasons that so-called metastasis is claimed to occur. One of them is because the primary cancer was not completely eradicated by the treatment, and cancer cells are claimed to have migrated to a new location in the body.

The National Cancer Institute (NCI) web page about metastasis states: "In metastasis, cancer cells break away from the original (primary) tumour, travel through the blood or lymph system, and form a new tumour in other organs or tissues of the body."

The page also claims: "The new, metastatic tumour is the same type of cancer as the primary tumour. For example, if breast cancer spreads to the lung, the cancer cells in the lung are breast cancer cells, not lung cancer cells."

The Oxford Concise Medical Dictionary, however, defines a cell as follows: "Complex organisms are built up of millions of cells that are specially adapted to carry out particular functions. The process of cell differentiation begins early on in the development of the embryo and cells of a particular type (e.g. blood cells, liver cells) always give rise to cells of the same type."

This raises a fundamental question: If cells are specialised, how can cells of one organ spread to another organ?

Despite its claims, the medical establishment does not understand all of the processes involved, as indicated by a January 2017 article entitled, *Gene discovery could shed light on how cancer cells spread*, which says: "The underlying mechanisms that control how cancer cells spread aren't well understood."

It is claimed to be unethical to experiment on humans, which is the reason that animals are used for cancer research experiments, as indicated by a 2021 article, *Application of Animal Models in Cancer Research: Recent Progress and Future Prospects,* which states: "There are many animal types and construction methods used to construct cancer animal models, and the progress of each animal model in tumour research has its own characteristics."



Image: Toxicology Library

One of the favourite animals used by medical researchers is the mouse, for reasons explained in the article: "The mouse genome is highly homologous to the human genome, which can simulate a series of biological characteristics such as the occurrence, development and metastasis of human cancer cells in vivo, and has the advantages of convenient feeding, low price and easy gene modification."

What is particularly problematic is that metastasis is not a natural occurrence in animals even when experimented upon, as Dr Tony Page explains in *Vivisection Unveiled*: "... artificially carcinogen-challenged laboratory animals do not normally develop metastases at all."

This is an extremely significant point, because it highlights a major problem with the use of animals in such experiments, which is that the disease under investigation is often induced by artificial methods. This means that researchers cannot be studying the same disease that they believe occurs in humans.

It is therefore not possible to draw meaningful conclusions for human health from the results of animal experimentation when it involves the creation of artificial disease. This is one of the most potent arguments against the use of animals for research studies, but that is a topic for another article.

One of the main sites of secondary cancer is the liver, which is the body's major detoxification organ. Liver cancer can therefore be understood as the result of a high body burden of toxins that the body is losing its ability to process and eliminate.

These toxins will include the chemicals used as chemotherapy. This means that the original treatment for the primary cancer is a contributory factor to metastasis, a fact that is admitted in a 2015 article, entitled *Reasons for Cancer Metastasis,* which states that "the majority of the presently available treatments for cancer also bear the potential to induce metastasis."

There is ample evidence to show that there is more than just the potential to induce metastasis.

One of the major concerns about metastasis is that it is associated with an increased risk of death, although the treatments used are never considered to be contributory. For example, a 2021 article, *Targeting metastatic cancer* states that primary tumours "can often be cured using local surgery or radiation".

However, the article adds: "Systemic approaches, including screening, chemotherapy, targeted therapy and immunotherapy, are therefore the mainstay of metastasis prevention and treatment."

Sadly, this additional toxic onslaught far too frequently results in the death of the patient, who is then reported as having 'lost their battle with cancer'. In reality, the patient has lost their battle against the accumulated toxins, which include whatever factors were involved in causing the primary cancer.

One rather bizarre direction that cancer research is taking involves the idea that 'germs' can be used as potential treatments, despite the claim that 'infections' are cited as causal factors.

For example, an October 2015 article entitled *Cancer-fighting viruses win approval,* published on the website of the journal *Nature,* states: "On 27 October, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved a genetically-engineered virus called talimogene laherparepvec (T-Vec) to treat advanced melanoma."

There are many problems with this approach, not least of which is that it involves genetic engineering, a technology that has been shown to be an uncertain, unpredictable and imprecise process that has the potential to be extremely dangerous.

Investigations are also being conducted to determine if bacteria have the potential for use as cancer treatments, for example a 2019 article is entitled *Therapeutic bacteria to combat cancer; current advances, challenges, and opportunities*.

The basis for this new line of research seems to have arisen from observations that tumours may regress when patients experience symptoms of an 'infection', especially a fever.

This misinterpretation of the observation has occurred because the medical establishment does not understand the true nature of the symptoms attributed to a so-called infection.

The fact that the medical establishment does not understand cancer does not mean that no-one understands it, as will be discussed in the next and final part of this series.

To be continued...

Psychiatric drugs no magic bullet

by **CHARLIE PIERRO**

'Blunt instrument' treatment pushed by Big Pharma



Photo: frolicsomepl

A COMMON belief about psychiatric drugs is that they are chemical cures or magic bullets that correct the chemical imbalances that supposedly cause mental distress.

This is not the case.

Reconsidering how these drugs work has important implications for helping people make informed choices about their use.

Psychiatric drugs have become firmly established within modern medicine, and their use is now widespread throughout society. Anti-depressants, anti-psychotics, mood stabilisers and anxiolytics are routinely prescribed for various forms of mental distress and are the treatment that people experiencing such distress are most likely to receive.

Many factors have contributed to this situation. Central among them is the narrative about how these drugs work, and this is often invoked to justify and encourage their use. This narrative presents psychiatric drugs as sophisticated, precision medicines that selectively target the biological dysfunctions that are said to cause mental distress.

To illustrate this narrative, an analogy is often made with the use of insulin in diabetes. Similar to how insulin works by compensating for the body's inability to produce sufficient amounts of that hormone, it is claimed that psychiatric drugs address the bodily dysfunctions that supposedly lead to mental ill-health.

In contrast with insulin, the dysfunction that psychiatric drugs are claimed to correct are chemical imbalances in the brain. In a 'lock and key' type of relationship, each drug is said to target particular neurotransmitters, the brain's chemical messengers, and re-establish the proper functioning and balance of those chemicals.

However, while this narrative has come to dominate the discourse around psychiatric drugs, there is no compelling evidence for it. Many practitioners and researchers working in the field of psychiatry - such as Joanna Moncrieff, David Healey and Peter Breggin - have consistently exposed the weaknesses in its claims.

As they point out, a variety of unwarranted assumptions, logical flaws and methodological problems characterise the research and literature used to support these claims. Despite its bold assertions about chemical imbalances, and the ability of psychiatric drugs to correct those imbalances, the evidence is unconvincing, misleading or simply non-existent.

Some may consider this a controversial position: doesn't the widespread acceptance of the established narrative about psychiatric drugs, both within and beyond the medical system, demonstrate its legitimacy? As the so-called 'covid pandemic' has revealed, narratives around health and medical products can become established for reasons that have little to do with their effectiveness.

For example, it has been suggested that the image of psychiatric drugs as sophisticated medicines is a result of deficiencies in the education and training of medical staff. Others point to the seductive simplicity of this narrative, which allows it to be readily accepted and perpetuated through society by patients, practitioners and the media.

Some recognise that the pharmaceutical industry, in a bid to maximise sales, has relentlessly marketed the idea of chemical imbalances and chemical cures. While others highlight the political expediency of these claims in diverting attention away from the need to tackle the wider social and material conditions that contribute to mental distress.

In contrast with the familiar rhetoric about psychiatric drugs which these factors have helped to create, the reality is very different. Rather than being sophisticated medicines that selectively target a chemical imbalance or dysfunction, the drugs prescribed for mental distress are imprecise tools or 'blunt instruments'.

Instead of insulin, a more appropriate way to illustrate how psychiatric drugs work is to draw an analogy with alcohol. As a powerful psychoactive drug, alcohol acts on the brain in a non-specific way by affecting many neurotransmitters. This generalised disruption of the brain's chemical messengers produces a range of familiar, and sometimes unfamiliar, physical and psychological effects.

Similarly, psychiatric drugs are powerful psychoactive substances that act on the brain in a global, rather than selective way, and disrupt the function of multiple neurotransmitters. In doing so, each psychiatric drug produces a wide range of predictable, and sometimes unpredictable, effects on a person's thoughts, feelings and behaviour.

Revising our understanding of psychiatric drugs as blunt instruments, rather than magic bullets, has important implications for their use. If those drugs continue to be viewed as sophisticated, precision medicines then decisions about them are seen as technical matters in which 'health experts' take the lead.

In this context, the subjective experiences of people taking psychiatric drugs is subordinated to the experts' supposedly superior knowledge about how they work. Any adverse effects people experience are viewed as 'side-effects' to be accepted as tolerable by-products of a drug's targeted and therapeutic 'main' effects.

This misplaced confidence in psychiatric drugs as chemical cures can motivate medical staff to focus almost exclusively on the issue of medication adherence. In seeking to ensure people take those drugs, staff use a variety of methods including persuasion, coercion and even compulsion.

However, understanding psychiatric drugs as imprecise and powerful psychoactive substances shifts the emphasis onto the subjective experiences of the people taking them. In doing so, there is an acknowledgement that those blunt instruments can produce a range of expected, and sometimes unexpected effects, both in the short and long term.

Rather than minimising some of these effects, all of them ought to be taken into account, and there should be a recognition that these can vary greatly among individuals. While some effects may be experienced by one person as acceptable or even beneficial, others may conclude that they are unhelpful, undesirable or even intolerable.

As a consequence, some might conclude that the experiences associated with mental distress are less disruptive to their lives than the effects of the drugs used to treat that distress. Such a decision should not be taken as evidence that a person lacks sufficient knowledge or insight into what is in their best interests.


Instead, there should be an acknowledgement that alternative and effective non-pharmacological approaches to mental distress do exist, and people should be given the information, support and opportunity to explore those approaches alongside, or even as an alternative to, the use of psychiatric drugs.

- ***More information https://tinyurl.com/5n6f78fb***
- ***https://charliepierro.substack.com/***

Corporate appetite for frankenfood

by **BEN HUNT**

10,000 years of being told what to eat



10,000 years of slavery

MOST readers of this paper are awake to the various tricks, scams, and spells cast over the general population. Most of us know the wall-to-wall propaganda around pharma, covid, climate, money, banking, taxation, wars… the list goes on.

These are all aspects of the one Great Conspiracy, an illusion designed to trap the 99% into permanent, generational slavery. In that half-life, our role as slaves is to trade our life force for money, which we then pay back into the System (at a fraction of what it is worth) just for the privilege of staying alive.

But most of the awake are still unaware of the original conspiracy, which the first Owners used to coerce others to do all the work, while they enjoyed the majority of the benefits. This conspiracy paved the way for everything else; it still goes on today, yet it is largely unknown.

We have to go back 10.000 years, just after the end of the last ice age, when the herds of megafauna dwindled and disappeared, and our prehistoric ancestors were forced to seek new sources of food. We switched from being hunter-gatherers to farmers… and that's where it all started to go wrong.

Previously, for our entire time here, we had hunted and gathered to source our food directly from the environment around us. Our powerful brains made us extremely successful and we spread over almost all the land on Earth.

However, we were always constrained, along with all other living things, to live under Natural Law, which always maintained balance and harmony. We couldn't harvest more from Nature than we could use; it didn't even make sense.

The invention of agriculture radically changed everything, tearing humanity away from our innate bonds to nature.

The first fundamental break was in switching from a situation where we belonged on the land, to one where, for the first time ever, the land belonged to us! (Actually, it would be more accurate to say the land belonged to some of us.)

While we had always depended on the land for our food, now that the land belonged to the few, the rest were no longer able to source everything we needed directly from nature. Can you imagine how that impacted the human psyche?

Crops can produce thousands of times more calories from a unit of land than hunting, and that fuelled an expansion in our population density. We moved from living in small tribes, where every individual relied on the others, to much larger communities, in which you could no longer know and trust every face.

Furthermore, land ownership granted new power over others, by controlling what Karl Marx would term 'the means of production', and led to the first fixed hierarchies, in other words the first class system.

The Owners naturally always kept the best food for themselves. Why not? It was their land, they were literally en*titled* to reap the rewards. The workers could eat as well, but only by trading their labour in return for essential sustenance.

What did the Owners allow the slaves to eat? The cheapest, least nutritious commodities. Throughout history, the lowest have always been doled out starchy grains and gruel.

Roman gladiators, for example, were nicknamed the hordearii (barley eaters), not because (as some claim) they were elite athletes, but because they were expendable slaves with short life expectancies. Meanwhile, we have many records of the menus of the banquets held for the Roman elites, which primarily featured exotic meats from all corners of the Empire.

Or consider how rich landowners have always kept extensive hunting estates for the exclusive use of their own class, while poaching was threatened with the death penalty (eventually enshrined in law in the Black Act of 1723).

More recently, in Victorian times, we have the case of the so-called 'Irish potato famine' which was completely man-made, because the English occupiers raided all the best food from Ireland, leaving the natives dependent on the humble potato. When the crop failed, with nothing else to fall back on, the Irish died or emigrated in their millions.

Fast-forward to today's Owners, who tuck into Wagyu beef and salmon at World Economic Forum meetings in Davos. What do they tell the rest of us? "You will eat much less meat, an occasional treat not a staple, for the good of the environment and our health."

You can see the same pattern repeated across the millennia. The most powerful have always enjoyed the most nutritious delights, while telling the poor they have to make do with slave food.

What do most people in the West eat today? In the U.S., an incredible 70 per cent is made up of 'hyper-processed foods', i.e. novel, artificial food-like products primarily reconstituted out of five main ingredients: the grains corn and wheat, sugar, soy, and highly toxic seed oils.

Who decides what we're told is good for us to eat?

Let's start with national dietary guidelines. In the UK, the current Eatwell guide was put together by a committee that represents nine industry organisations, including the British Retail Consortium and the Association of Convenience Stores. Those nine organisations in turn represent many corporations you may recognise, including Mars, McDonald's, Tate & Lyle, British Sugar, Nestlé, Coca-Cola, Pepsico, and Kellogg's (to name a few).

The media also play a significant role in shaping the 'healthy-eating' narrative. We all know how the majority of mainstream channels are under corporate or government control (which is just another word for 'corporate').

What about the science, which we're told we must follow? Again, the vast majority of research these days depends directly on either industry or government (corporate) funding, which has a strong influence over predetermining the outcomes of any papers that get published.

What about NGOs like the World Health Organisation or the World Economic Forum? Do we trust those? Of course not — we know they lie about everything!

Are you going to nod along obediently when they say that frankenfood made out of cheap row crops grown in fields the size of cities, harvested by robot-controlled combines, shipped to factories, then reconstituted into fun shapes and packaged with the words 'farm fresh' and 'all natural ingredients' is going to save the Earth?

Why? Why would they be telling the truth?

If your mind is open, if you are ready to discover *The Food Conspiracy* for yourself, it is a fascinating rabbit hole:

- ***https://bit.ly/food-conspiracy***
- ***Or scan the QR code:***



Letters to The LIGHT

Got something to say? Outraged that this paper exists? Want to praise us for being the only widely circulated newspaper giving a voice to the alternative, the censored, the cancelled and the forgotten? Love the paper, but have to disagree with an article? ***Please email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk***

DEAR The Light,

I thought you might like to see this email I sent to the BBC:

From: john sharp

Sent: 12 June 2023 11:20

To: publicityfactualandarts@bbc.co.uk

Subject: Ms M Spring’s ‘Conspiracyland’

Dear Sirs, please pass on my thanks to your reporter Ms Marianna Spring for her recent programs where she highlighted the publication called *The Light*.

I had not heard of this paper but have now obtained copies (some dating back over the last few years), and have found the information contained to be very interesting and thought-provoking. It certainly discussed many aspects of what is occurring in our world which are absent from much of mainstream journalism. I strongly suggest that the BBC continues its good work to publicise such alternative media so as to present a more balanced view of world events.

I am aware of another excellent source of trustworthy information called UK Column News, so perhaps Ms Spring could arrange to interview them as well?

Kind regards.

Johnny Sharp

Dear The Light,

I found the articles on cancer, in recent issues of *The Light*, interesting. But they missed some important information which your readers ought to know about.


The fact is that the main cause of cancer was discovered nearly 100 years ago. A scientist named Otto Warburg won the Nobel Prize for it.

Cancer is caused by a diet high in refined sugars; and the sugar industry has kept this information suppressed, which is why many people do not know about it. Readers may want to do their own investigations. I found out by a chance meeting with Robert Olifent, author of the book *Do you want to know what we did to beat cancer?* Here the history of Warburg’s discovery and subsequent industry suppression is discussed, against the wider backdrop of how Mrs Olifent’s ‘terminal’ cancer was made to disappear through a major change in diet.

Dr Andrew Rollinson



Otto Warburg



DEAR The Light,

One of the arguments used to support our ‘democracy’ is stability.

Unfortunately, like the political establishment lies, this is propaganda. We have had instability and civil disobedience before, but that is glossed over and covered up by the mainstream media.

Stability is the myth and lie that perpetuates our shamocracy. We are so used to lies it is now a way of life, and when Sunak stands up in the House of Commons, we are subjected to his lies and evasion. Sunak’s invisibility during the vote on the privileged committee report was cowardly and contemptible.

His explanation of the suffering caused by the interest rate rises to mortgage holders is quite despicable. How many deaths will result from mental stress and repossession of homes?

Interest rate rises are the pain that only the poor have to endure. So returning to the question of stability and civil disorder, are we expected to tolerate this pain and will this pain exacerbate civil disobedience and destroy stability?

Enough is enough, and this fraudulent democracy must be challenged and swept away.

Democracy is only a word on paper unless the people stand behind it.

Malcolm Naylor

DEAR The Light,

I have just discovered that the soft contact lenses I have been using for years contain PFAS (per- and polyfluorinated alkalis) used to keep the lenses soft and improve oxygen perfusion.

PFAS are ubiquitous in our lives and environment, especially our drinking water. They are powerful hormone disruptors, carcinogens and cause autoimmune disorders, among other problems.

Which goes some way to explain the massive increase in cancers, the fall in human fertility especially sperm counts, and the feminisation of many aquatic species as well as the obesity crisis.

Once in the environment, they are there forever, continuing to poison us, other species and our land. I really do object to being poisoned by stealth as well as by coerced injection.

The World Economic Forum (WEF) want to reduce the global population significantly, even though it is falling naturally. Poisoning our environment is a really sneaky way of doing it.

Karen Jacob

DEAR The Light,

Harry Hopkins is to be congratulated on his article regarding isolation due to technology, where he subtly outlines the despots’ age-old divide and conquer technique.

May I also take this opportunity to congratulate and thank all your contributors - your paper is an invaluable anchor back to reality.

Peter Manley

DEAR The Light,

Where is the scientific, technical, engineering, electrical generation, and indeed plain common sense for the proposed wind farm at Y Bryn, near Port Talbot?

Fundamentally, you do not have to be the sharpest pencil in the box to acknowledge the variability and unpredictability of the wind. Our modern techological society is heavily dependent on a secure and reliable source of electrical energy, and wind generation cannot offer this.

The only certainties that large scale wind generation can guarantee are power brownouts and blackouts. The writing is clearly on the wall when only recently, due to the lack of wind, a coal-fired power station had to be brought on-line.

Surely the Senedd has members with sufficient cerebral skills to recognise the ‘Achilles Heel’ of wind generation, not to mention the desecration of our beautiful Welsh countryside?

We are already self-sufficient in power generation – any new or proposed wind or solar farms in Wales should be halted immediately.

Dave Haskell

THE LIGHT RECRUIT

Area Manager - Distribution

The Uncensored Truth **TheLIGHT**

National

The Light is launching a new distribution channel, enabling us to get the uncensored truth out to even more people.

'Wake Up Your Neighbours' will allow people to have copies of the paper delivered to streets around them, and that's where you come in.

As an area manager, you will be responsible for delivering papers to nominated streets, liaising with the distribution manager and the distribution administration team, and being a good ambassador the The Light.

You will be paid generously per paper delivered, must have a great work ethic and a good knowledge of what the paper is all about.

For more information, please email your CV and introduction to info@thelightrecruit.co.uk

Ref:TLP002

Social Media Manager

ICKONIC THE ALTERNATIVE

Full time
Permanent
Derby

£24,000 to £28,000 per annum

Ickonic is an online streaming service producing original TV series and documentaries on subjects that include hidden knowledge, paranormal, esoteric, health and well-being as well as social issues. In the age of cancel culture and censorship, they act to counterbalance and present an alternative view on issues of the day.
They are looking for an organised, quick thinking, ambitious and creative Social Media Manager to join their growing team.

Ref: ICK001

To apply, please email your CV, quoting the reference of the position you are applying for. For more information or to see the full job specification, please visit our website.

www.thelightrecruit.co.uk info@thelightrecruit.co.uk @thelightrecruit

eCS

HIGH QUALITY IONIC COLLOIDAL SILVER

ANTIBACTERIAL, ANTIVIRAL, ANTIFUNGAL AND IMMUNE SUPPORT



eCS Colloidal Silver is a health supplement of pure ionized silver in pharmaceutical grade reverse osmosis water which has viricidal, bactericidal, fungicidal and general anti-microbial properties. eCS Colloidal Silver assists the human body to overcome infections and support the body's immune system.



Some of the benefits of eCS Colloidal Silver:

1. Natural anti-bacterial, anti-viral, anti-fungal and anti-microbial properties, supporting the immune system.
2. Safe to use for babies, small children, pregnant women, nursing mothers and even your animals.
3. Non-toxic, non-addictive and has no known side effects.
4. Can be used orally, topically, internally, atomized, nebulised, dropped into the eyes, ears and sprayed into the nose.

FOR MORE INFO CONTACT CRAIG KEDDA: craig@wlast.co.uk • 07395296265 • www.qunubucbd.co.uk • www.wlast.co.uk

Grander Water Revitalisation

Putting the spring back in your water



For over 44 years Grander has provided bespoke whole house water revitalisation systems delivering healthy, refreshing filtered (Chlorine free) water on tap to homes worldwide, everyday.

Easy installation, low maintenance

NO chemicals, salt or electricity

Grander supports your conscious lifestyle choices in harmony with nature and the human body.

Whole house systems for 1 to 3 Bathrooms £3,980 (Finance available)

Table top accessories from £225



Filtration from Fluoride, counter-top options

GRANDER WATER

granderwater.co.uk 0333 390 9479

waterinfo@granderwater.co.uk

Naturopath.Clinic Health and Fitness

Naturopathic Medicine

Personalised Healthcare Treating the Root Cause - Naturally!



Hormone and GUT Specialist, Support for Chronic Conditions, Check Ups

Consultations in Cardiff and On Line



www.Naturopath.Clinic
enquiries@Naturopath.Clinic
01443 440299

Awakened Law
The Legal Lighthouse

The Ethical Legal Service for our Community

- Protections for you and your family
- Wills, LPAs, Probate & Trusts specialists
- Use legal processes to your advantage
- DIY & Check service

Find us at:
www.awakenedlaw.co.uk
lesley@awakenedlaw.co.uk



"Happy Birthday England!

The Workers of England Union proudly wish our country a Happy Birthday on the 1,096th anniversary of the Unification of England under our great King Athelstan in 927AD at the Council of Eamont".

www.workersofengland.co.uk

the WORKERS of ENGLAND union



WAKE UP TO BEING WEALTHY

AND EXPRESS YOURSELF FULLY

THE COST OF LIVING CRISIS IS ANOTHER EPISODE OF PROGRAMMING KEEPING YOU LOCKED IN FEAR ITS NEVER BEEN A BETTER TIME TO TAKE CONTROL OF YOUR LIFE & FIND YOUR PURPOSE

I AM USING THIS ADVERT TO GUIDE YOU INTO TAKING THE NEXT STEP IN BUILDING A TEAM WITH ME & TO HELP YOU PERSONALLY DEVELOP. YOU ARE AWESOME & LOVED

BECOME PART OF THIS GROWING GLOBAL PROSPERITY MOVEMENT OF OVER 2 MILLION PEOPLE WHO ARE ALREADY LIVING HEALTHY, WEALTHY & CLEANER LIVES BY CREATING A UNIQUE ONLINE LIFESTYLE BUSINESS

THIS MOMENT RIGHT NOW IS THE YOUNGEST YOU WILL EVER BE.....
SO *WHY* NOT START WITH A CONVERSATION ?

Scott Ian Barnes
linktr.ee/scottianbarnes
scottibarnes84@gmail.com
***HUSBAND * HOME-ED FATHER**
***TRUTHSEEKER * MANIFESTOR**



Are you looking for a truly holistic dental practice?

@ The DR ELMAR JUNG DENTAL CLINIC

We offer biological, integrative Oral Health Care

Drill – Fill – Bill - The best approach in dentistry?

Or could aiming for the root cause of your dental and overall health challenges be a much healthier and longer lasting approach?

We treat YOU not your symptoms!

We believe that working with materials that are free from mercury, fluoride, BPA, metals, hormone disruptors and graphene oxide is far better for your health.
Feedback from our patients confirms that they feel much better since their infected dead and root treated teeth have been donated to the tooth fairy.

How we do this

By using safe and tested methods such as the SMART Protocol, Vitamin C infusion, PRGF, ozone, supplementation protocols and the unblocking of trapped emotions according to Dr Bradley Nelson and Dr Geert Ryke Hamer.

For kids

We also offer myo-functional therapy to tackle kids crowded teeth from early as 5 years old to prevent extracting healthy teeth later in life.

"You never change things by fighting the existing reality."
Buckminster Fuller

Dr Elmar Jung Dental Clinic

+44 7852 434 900

pa@dr-elmar-jung.com

www.dr-elmar-jung.com

Mountbatten Business Centre, 14 Millbrook Road East, Southampton, SO15 1HY

